arXiv:1501.03644v1 [hep-th] 15 Jan 2015

Space-time mechanics:
Quantum causal structure and expansive force

Mauricio Valenzuela*

Instituto de Ciencias Físicas y Matemáticas, Universidad Austral de Chile, Valdivia, Chile

Abstract

Combining twistor space and *phase space formulation of quantum mechanics* we propose a new framework of quantization of geometries which incorporates Wigner functions for geometrical observables. Quantizing the light-cone in $2+1$ and $3+1$ dimensions results in one-sheet "quantum hyperboloids". We propose that the latter rule the causal structure of the space-time, yielding uncertainty of positions and space-time curvature. The quantum hyperboloid predicts accelerated propagation of signals and effective space expansion, responsible for effects similar to "dark energy". These effects are noticeable at scales of the quantization parameter in twistor space, denoted *Heisenberg length*, and negligible at much larger scales since the hyperboloid is asymptotic to the light-cone. Due to space-time non-commutativity it is necessary to introduce notions of observers which are able to determine distances in specific directions. Thus, in the perspective of a *time-observer*, time and radius of spatial sections of the quantum hyperboloid become discrete and bounded from below. Hence the time is quantized and punctual events are replaced by *quantum events*, which at determined time occur in a finite region of space bounded by *quantum circles* or *quantum spheres* respectively in $2+1$ and $3+1$ dimensions. Inside the latter bounds there is no notion of time and causality. We claim that quantum spheres may prevent a singular origin of the universe and complete evaporation of black holes. Using a *twistor space – phase space* correspondence allows us to compute the entropy of a finite volume in twistor-phase-space and observe that it is proportional to the area of a sphere in space-time. The quantum fluctuations of light-rays in $3+1$ dimensions generate planes through the origin of the space-time, labeled by *Pluckër coordinates*, and whose whole set is equivalent to the quantum version of the Grassmannian $\mathtt{Gr}(2,4)$. We show that these quantum algebraic variaties enjoy infinite symmetries known as *higher spin symmetries*.

---

[*] `valenzuela.u` *at* `gmail.com`

Contents

1 Introduction **2**

2 Overview and remarks **4**

3 Elements of Groenewold-Moyal quantization **6**

4 Classical twistor parametrization of light-cones and the Grassmannian $\mathtt{Gr}(2,4)$ **10**
4.1 Light-cone in three dimensions 10
4.2 Light-cone in four dimensions and the Grassmannian $\mathtt{Gr}(2,4)$ 11

5 Quantization in twistor phase space: $\star$-product and the geometrical Wigner function **13**
5.1 Quantization of the light-cone in $2+1$ dimensions 14
5.2 Quantization of the light-cone in $3+1$ dimensions and the Grassmannian $\mathtt{Gr}(2,4)$ 15
5.3 Quantization of area and volume 17

6 Higher spin symmetries of the light-cone and $\mathtt{Gr}(2,4)$ **19**

7 Quantum causal structure: Quantum events and expansive quantum gravity **20**

8 Entropy in twistor phase space and the area of spheres **21**

9 Conclusions **23**

1 Introduction

It is expected that at about scales of the Planck length a description of the structure of space-time must combine aspects of geometry and quantum mechanics. Black hole information loss paradox (see e.g. [1, 2]) and the AdS/CFT correspondence [3, 4] have led to the suspicion that the observed physical reality of fields and gravity may be encoded in a system at the boundary of the space-time, with no dynamical gravity, and thus having the characteristic of a hologram [5–8]. Complementing this idea, it has been shown that gravity may be induced by field theory [9, 10] or appear as an adiabatic reaction force [11]. These points of view encourage the exploration of new frameworks of quantum geometry without the introduction of gravity as a fundamental force, but rather expecting that it will emerge as a quantum and/or statistical effect.

In what follows we will present a new approach for the quantization of space-time geometries which introduces Wigner functions in twistor space [12, 13] allowing the quantization of geometries in a similar way to mechanical systems in the *phase space formulation of quantum mechanics* [14–18], referred to also as Groenewold-Moyal quantum mechanics (see also [19, 20]), which is a precursor form of *deformation quantization* [17, 18, 21]. In particular, when quantizing the light-cone the time will become discrete and events will occur in finite regions of space where the notion of causality does not hold. The quantization of the light-cone leads necessarily to the quantization of the Grassmannian $\mathtt{Gr}(2,4)$. As we shall see, quantum mechanics will induce an effective curvature of space resulting from the deformation of the light-cone into a *quantum hyperboloid*. This modifies the causal structure of space-time provoking effective inflation of space and accelerated expansion of signals at small scales. The latter effects can be regarded as mimicking "dark energy". While the finite size of events suggests a non-singular origin of the universe, it may also prevent a complete evaporation of black holes. Therefore we recognize a form of quantum gravity emerging from an axiom of quantization. In this approach the classical limit is equivalent to the large scale limit, thus these results are not in contradiction with the Minkowskian model of space-time.

More concretely, under some reality conditions twistor space can be regarded as a phase space which allows the parametrization of space-time subvarieties, the light-cone and the $\mathtt{Gr}(2,4)$, by means of phase space coordinates. Consequently this permits the introduction of a Groenewold-Moyal $\star$-product [14,15] in twistor space together with the Wigner function [22]. The $\star$-product induces a non-commutative algebra of observables in twistor space, while the Wigner function allows the measurement of expectation values of geometrical observables such as time, area and volume, for which the Wigner function solves their $\star$-*genvalue equation*,

$$G\star W = g W\,, \tag{1.1}$$

where g, a constant, is the $\star$-*genvalue* of the geometrical observable G, expressed in terms of twistor variables, and W is the Wigner function. From (1.1) W is the distribution in *twistor phase space* that yields the least variance for G. When G is chosen as the time coordinate, the Wigner function can be interpreted as encoding the spatial perspective of an observer possessing a clock.

The equivalence of twistor space and phase space and the introduction of probability distributions permits the use of the tools of statistical mechanics also, allowing in particular the definition of the Gibbs entropy. We shall see that for constant probability distribution the Gibbs entropy in twistor phase space is proportional to the area of spheres, defined as the locus of ends of light-rays, parametrized by twistor variables restricted to a finite (ball) volume in twistor phase space.

The construction to be presented here can also be regarded as providing a dictionary translating well understood mechanical systems into geometry. As we shall see, most of our results are reinterpretations of the physics of the harmonic oscillator into space-time geometries, which is possible due to the twistor space and phase space correspondence. For instance, the time coordinate in space-time as an observable in twistor phase space will be reinterpreted as the Hamiltonian of the planar Harmonic oscillator. Other generators of the kinematical and dynamical symmetries of the Harmonic oscillator will be interpreted as spatial directions of the light-cone and the coordinates of the Grassmannian $\mathtt{Gr}(2,4)$. That this mechanic-geometry correspondence is non-trivial is reflected for instance in the persistence of the Pluckër constraints that define $\mathtt{Gr}(2,4)$ before and after quantization. The former observation about the computation of the entropy of twistor phase space and the area of spheres indicates also that this approach may also implement ideas of holography. We expect that in an analogous way for many mechanical systems there will be a geometrical reinterpretation, which could be found by means of the twistor space and phase space correspondence.

Ours is a first step in the development of a theory in which space-time become a mechanical observable in *twistor phase space*, hence providing a framework for its description using the tools of mechanics; classical, quantum and/or statistical. This approach suggests that space-time could be just a phenomenon occurring in the phase-space of a mechanical system.

Plan of the paper

- In section 2 we present an overview of the ideas and the results of this paper.
- In section 3 we review some elements of phase space formulation of quantum mechanics [14–16, 19, 20]. In particular we define the Groenewold-Moyal product and solve the Harmonic oscillator spectrum as an example.
- In section 4 we use phase space vectors, regarded as real twistor variables, to parametrize the light-cone in $2+1$ and $3+1$ dimensions and the Grassmannian $\mathtt{Gr}(2,4)$.
- In section 5 we use the $\star$-product defined in section 3 and introduce the *geometrical Wigner function* in twistor phase space to quantize the light-cone and the Grassmannian $\mathtt{Gr}(2,4)$ constructed in section 4. We compute the spectrum of the time coordinate, area, and volume.

- In section 6 we show that quantum hyperboloid and the quantum Grassmannian $\mathtt{Gr}(2,4)$ enjoy an infinite dimensional symmetry, so called *higher spin symmetry.*
- In section 7 we introduce the concept of *quantum hyperbolid causal structure*, *quantum events*, and point out the cosmological consequences of these new notions.
- In section 8 we compute the entropy of a finite volume ball in four dimensional twistor phase space and show that it is proportional to the area of a two-sphere in space-time.
- Section 9 we present our conclusions.

For a fast overview of this paper the reader may wish to start in section 5, for which it will be necessary to consult the definition of the star-product in equation (3.4) and the parametrization of the light-cones in (4.37) and (4.50) and of the Grassmannian (4.54) in terms of twistor phase space variables.

2 Overview and remarks

The technical detail that allows us to combine twistor space and the phase space formulation of quantum mechanics is that under suitable reality conditions twistor space and phase space are equivalent. This means that the components of twistor coordinates will decompose in positions and momenta, and therefore regarded as describing the phase space of some mechanical system. This is possible to do since the conjugation matrix in a Majorana representation of a Clifford algebra plays the same role in twistor space, in the construction of Lorentz invariants, as the symplectic matrix does in a phase space, in the construction of symplectic invariants.

In what follows we refer to *twistor phase space* as the spaces that can be treated simultaneously as twistor space, such that it can be used in the construction of geometries, and phase space, such that concepts of quantum/statistical mechanics can be implemented on top of it. This can be done in Minkowski spaces of dimensions $2, 3, 4$ Mod 8 where Majorana representations of the Clifford algebra do exist, but here we study the specific cases of dimensions 3 and 4.

In twistor phase space the conjugation matrix can be used in the definition of a $\star$-product which is exactly the Groenewold-Moyal product in the phase space formulation of quantum mechanics with insured compatibility with Lorentz covariance. Therefore it is possible to deform the algebra of observables in twistor space using the $\star$-product as it is done in the phase space formulation of quantum mechanics and to incorporate the Wigner function, a probabilistic distribution, in twistor phase space obtaining in this way a new framework of quantization of geometries. In this approach, time, or any other space-time direction, is just one observable among others such as area and volume. The choice of time measurement determines specific foliation of the space-time, but there are other choices where instead other directions will be determined while time will be undetermined. In fact, due to non-commutativity, all the coordinates can not be simultaneously measured, thus the observer must choose their measuring apparatus, either a "clock " or a "yardstick". We will choose the clock, meaning that we will solve the $\star$-genvalue equation (1.1) for the time observable X^0,

$$X^0 \star W = t\, W\,, \tag{2.2}$$

thus finding its Wigner distribution W, and its eigenvalue t. W will be interpreted as representing the spatial situation in the perspective of an observer at determined time t, since the expectation values of any other observable, say f, will be taken with respect to W in (2.2) as an integral in twistor phase space,

$$\langle f\rangle = \int_{\mathtt{TW}} f\star W\,.$$

Thus, when referring to an observer, we should label them by the observable that can be measured, i.e. G in (1.1). Therefore we will refer to *time-observer* as the observer that can determine the time, according to (2.2). As we shall see, one of the consequences of (2.2) is that the time will be discrete (see (5.95) for the spectrum of time in $3+1$ dimensions).

The $\star$-product is a uni-parametric deformation of the juxtaposition product of functions in phase space, and in this case of twistor phase space. This parameter will be denoted $\ell_{\mathtt{H}}$, and referred to as *Heisenberg length*, as twistor variables have dimensions of square root of length in space-time. In particular the *Moyal bracket*, which is the anti-symmetric part of the associative $\star$-product, yields a Heisenberg algebra of twistor phase space coordinates, with $\ell_{\mathtt{H}}$ being the central charge. From non-commutativity in twistor phase space, non-commutativity of the coordinates of the light-cone and of the Grassmannian $\mathtt{Gr}(2,4)$[1] will be induced in a Lorentz covariant way.

It could be expected that $\ell_{\mathtt{H}}$ should be of the order of the Planck length, but there is no experimental evidence to support this thesis. However, the computation of the Gibbs entropy, to be carried out in section 8, for constant probability distribution in four dimensional twistor phase space restricted to a finite volume element yields an entropy proportional to the area of a sphere in space-time, and which corresponds to the locus of ends of light-rays parametrized by twistor variables in that finite volume. Thus an equivalence with the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy of black holes is suggested. More precisely, this result indicates that the information of black holes can be encoded in a finite volume of a four dimensional phase space, i.e. with a two dimensional configuration space, and our construction provides a map to such system. Equaling the entropy obtained by our means and the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy provides a numerical value of the Heisenberg length, which is proportional and of the same order of the Planck length.

In $2+1$ dimensions the coordinates of the light-cone, given in terms of *phase space twistors*, span with the Moyal bracket a representation of the $sp(2) \cong so(2,1)$ algebra. The Casimir of this algebra is identified with the constraint characterizing a one-sheet (two-dimensional) quantum hyperboloid [24,25], which is the non-compact counterpart of the *fuzzy sphere* [26,27]. In $3+1$ dimensions the Moyal bracket of light-cone coordinates generates a new tensor, corresponding to the *Plücker coordinates* of the Grassmannian $\mathtt{Gr}(2,4)$. The coordinates of the light-cone and of $\mathtt{Gr}(2,4)$ with the Moyal bracket will span a representation of the $sp(4) \cong so(3,2)$ algebra, known as harmonic oscillator, metaplectic, or singleton representation [28], introduced first by Majorana [29,30], though it is frequently attributed to Dirac [31]. Therefore light-rays trajectories fluctuate on the planes of the Grassmannian. The constraint of the light-cone in $3+1$ dimensions will be deformed into the constraint of a one-sheet quantum hyperboloid embedded in $3+1$ dimensions whose minimum spatial section has a radius of the order of the Heinseberg length, while the Pluckër constraints that define $\mathtt{Gr}(2,4)$ will maintain their form.

From their $so(3,2)$ algebra, the coordinates of the quantum Grassmannian and the quantum hyperboloid in $3+1$ dimensions generate their own symmetry transformations. Indeed, we will observe that the symmetry of these varieties in their quantized form will be infinite dimensional, and equivalent to some *higher spin symmetries* [32]. In this aspect we extend the result of reference [25], where it was shown that the two-dimensional quantum hyperboloid and the quantum sphere have higher spin symmetries. In fact, it is worth commenting that the $\star$-product technology has been used not only in quantum mechanics and in non-commutative geometry, but due to the simplicity of manipulating higher order polynomials and distributions in non-commutative variables, it has greatly facilitated the construction of *higher spin algebras* [32,33]. This has been useful for the study of higher spin extensions of gravity in Vasiliev's formulation [34,35] (see [33,36,37] for review articles and [38,39] for off-shell description).

We shall see that, from equation (2.2), the time coordinate and the radius of spatial sections of the quantum hyperboloid can be determined simultaneously, having a discrete spectrum and lowest bounds. This implies that it is not possible to measure time and localize positions before these bounds. Consequently, the notion of punctual events will be replaced by *quantum events*.

A quantum event, at a determined time, occupies a finite region of space, which we call *quantum*

---

[1] Though the non-commutative Grassmannian $\mathtt{Gr}(2,4)$, with an Euclidean signature, was studied in [23], here we emphasize its particular physical relevance when the ambient space of the planes is Lorentzian, since it will contain the light-cone, and therefore its quantization will affect the notion of the causal structure of the space-time.

ball in $3+1$ dimensions, or *quantum disc* in $2+1$ dimensions, which are of the scale of the Heisenberg length. Quantum balls/discs will become the elementary units of space for time-observers and inside these structures there will be no notion of time, causality or localization. In addition, successions of events will occur in discrete lapses. For a time-observer the information of a quantum event consists of the global state of the respective quantum disc/ball, and hence obtainable at its boundary, again suggesting a holographic nature of causally connected spaces. Thus the space-time can be regarded as an arrangement of quantum balls where information propagates though the network formed by their surfaces.

Thought we do not explore in detail the phenomenological implications of this new vision of space-time, we believe that it may indeed be predictive. At least qualitatively the deformation of light-cones into quantum hyperboloids provides a natural source of expansion of space and acceleration of signals which may be compared with the effects of *dark energy*. In addition, the presented framework could explain some aspects related to the origin of the universe, which could have been born in a quantum event instead of a space-time singularity, and also play a role in the explanation of the origin of inflation. Also, on could expect that the existence of quantum events, more precisely of quantum balls, may prevent complete evaporation of black holes.

3 Elements of Groenewold-Moyal quantization

Quantization in Hilbert spaces assigns operators to functions on the phase space in a given prescription of ordering. Instead in phase space formulation, a $\star$-product is introduced such that the algebra of observables becomes non-commutative. In addition, the *Wigner function* is introduced in order to measure the expectation values of observables [16, 19]. In what follows we will present only some elements of this approach which will be necessary for our final purpose. Our exposition is based mostly on the references [19, 40], where the reader may find further details and references.

Consider a $2d$-dimensional phase space spanned by coordinates,

$$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}_\alpha} = (q_1, \cdots q_d, p_1, \cdots, p_d) \quad \in \quad \mathbb{R}^{2d}\,, \tag{3.3}$$

composed of d positions (q's) and d momenta (p's). Now, for two arbitrary functions f and g, the Groenewold-Moyal $\star$-product is defined as,

$$f(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}})\star g(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}) \;=\; \int \frac{d^{2d}\boldsymbol{\xi}\, d^{2d}\boldsymbol{\zeta}}{(\pi\ell_{\mathtt{H}})^{2d}} \exp\left(\tfrac{2i}{\ell_{\mathtt{H}}}(\boldsymbol{\xi_\alpha \zeta^\alpha})\right) f(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}+\boldsymbol{\xi})\, g(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}+\boldsymbol{\zeta})\,, \tag{3.4}$$

where in the exponential we use the symplectic matrix

$$\boldsymbol{C_{\alpha\beta}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbb{1}_{d\times d} \\ -\mathbb{1}_{d\times d} & 0 \end{pmatrix}\,, \tag{3.5}$$

to perform the contraction $\boldsymbol{\xi_\alpha \zeta^\alpha}$ of the integration parameters according to the following conventions,

$$\boldsymbol{\zeta^\alpha} = \boldsymbol{C^{\alpha\beta}\zeta_\beta}\,, \qquad \boldsymbol{\zeta_\alpha} = \boldsymbol{\zeta^\beta C_{\beta\alpha}}\,, \quad \text{where} \quad \boldsymbol{C_{\alpha\beta}} = \boldsymbol{C^{\alpha\beta}}\,. \tag{3.6}$$

The constant $\ell_{\mathtt{H}}$, the parameter of deformation of the product of functions in phase space, has dimensions of area of phase space. For the moment we do not determine its units but it can be thought of as the Planck constant, until the following section where it will have dimensions of length in space-time.

The $\star$-product of two vectors yields,

$$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}_\alpha}\star\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}_\beta} = \boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}_\alpha \mathcal{L}_\beta} + \frac{i\ell_{\mathtt{H}}}{2}\boldsymbol{C_{\alpha\beta}}\,, \tag{3.7}$$

where the symmetric part, $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}_\alpha \mathcal{L}_\beta} = \boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}_\beta \mathcal{L}_\alpha}$ is just the "classical product" of commuting variables. For any function f and a vector $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}_\alpha}$,

$$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}_\alpha}\star f(\mathcal{L}) = \boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}_\alpha} f(\mathcal{L}) + \frac{i\ell_{\mathtt{H}}}{2}\frac{\partial f(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}^\alpha}}\,, \qquad f(\mathcal{L})\star\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}_\alpha} = \boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}_\alpha} f(\mathcal{L}) - \frac{i\ell_{\mathtt{H}}}{2}\frac{\partial f(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}^\alpha}}\,. \tag{3.8}$$

The Moyal bracket, or $\star$-commutator, is defined as

$$[f\,,g]_\star := f\star g - g\star f\,. \tag{3.9}$$

From (3.8) we obtain,

$$[\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}},\, f(\mathcal{L})]_\star = i\ell_{\mathtt{H}}\,\frac{\partial f(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}\,. \tag{3.10}$$

We define the $\star$-anti-commutator,

$$\{f\,,g\}_\star := f\star g + g\star f\,, \tag{3.11}$$

which extracts the classical component of the product (3.8),

$$\{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}},\, f(\mathcal{L})\}_\star = 2\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}\, f(\mathcal{L}) = 2f(\mathcal{L})\,\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}\,. \tag{3.12}$$

Fully symmetric product of phase space vectors,

$$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}_{(\boldsymbol{\alpha}_1}\star\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}_2}\star\cdots\star\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}_n)} := \frac{1}{n!}\sum_{\sigma}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}_{\sigma(\boldsymbol{\alpha}_1)}\star\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}_{\sigma(\boldsymbol{\alpha}_2)}\star\cdots\star\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}_{\sigma(\boldsymbol{\alpha}_n)}\,,$$

where σ belongs to the permutation group of n elements, do not generate quantum corrections;

$$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}_{(\boldsymbol{\alpha}_1}\star\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}_2}\star\cdots\star\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}_n)} = \boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}_1}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}_2}\cdots\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}_n}\,, \tag{3.13}$$

i.e. the r.h.s. is just a real-valued monomial in the phase space. The generators (3.13), for $n = 0, 1, \ldots,$ form a basis of observables in the phase space.

It is convenient to use the following notation for the product (3.13),

$$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}(n)} := \boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}_1}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}_2}\cdots\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}_n}\,. \tag{3.14}$$

The algebra of these generators, with the $\star$-product, span a representation of the associative Weyl algebra, i.e. the universal enveloping algebra of the Heisenberg algebra

$$[\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}\,,\, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\,]_\star := i\ell_{\mathtt{H}}\, \boldsymbol{C}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha\beta}}\,. \tag{3.15}$$

The second order generators

$$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}_{(\boldsymbol{\alpha}_1}\star\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}_2)} = \boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}_1}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}_2}\,, \tag{3.16}$$

when endowed with the Moyal bracket (3.9) generate a $sp(2d)$ algebra, i.e. generators of symplectic transformation in the phase space.

In addition to the $\star$-product of observables, an essential element of quantization in the phase space is the Wigner function, denoted by $W = W(q,p) = W(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}})$, which represents the state of a physical system. The Wigner function is not necessarily positive definite, it is not a *bona fide* probability distribution but it has a probabilistic meaning. The reader may wish to consult reference [41] for a didactic explanation of the physical meaning of the Wigner function and of its experimental observation in double-slit atom refraction and in atom trap experiments.

Quantization in phase space does not need to choose coordinate or momentum space, and still yields uncertainty relation from (3.15) (see proof in [19] or [20]),

$$\Delta q\Delta p \geqslant \frac{\ell_{\mathtt{H}}}{2}\,.$$

The Wigner function is normalized,

$$\int d^{2n}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}\;\; W = 1\,, \tag{3.17}$$

and the expectation value of an observable $f(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}})$ is given by the integral,

$$\langle f(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}})\rangle = \int d^{2n}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}\; f(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}) \star W(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}})\,. \tag{3.18}$$

For pure states the Wigner function can be expressed in terms of wave functions [22]. For instance, in the one dimensional case,

$$W(q,p) = \frac{1}{\pi\ell_{\mathtt{H}}}\int ds\, \psi_q(q+s)\psi_q^*(q-s)\, \exp(2isp/\ell_{\mathtt{H}}) = \frac{1}{\pi\ell_{\mathtt{H}}}\int ds\, \psi_p(p+s)\psi_p^*(p-s)\, \exp(2isp/\ell_{\mathtt{H}})\,. \tag{3.19}$$

The marginal probabilities in coordinate or momentum space are respectively given by the *shadows*,

$$|\psi_q(q)|^2 = \int dp\, W(q,p)\,, \qquad |\psi_p(p)|^2 = \int dq\, W(q,p)\,. \tag{3.20}$$

Non-negative expectation values are obtained for expressions of the form,

$$\langle f^*(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}})\star f(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}})\rangle \;\geqslant\; 0 \tag{3.21}$$

where f^* is the complex conjugated of f (see proof e.g. in [20, 40]).

A functions $f(\lambda)$ with argument λ can be promoted to a $\star$-function replacing its Taylor expansion

$$f(\lambda) = \sum_l f_l\, \lambda^l\,,$$

where f_l are constants, by

$$f_\star(\lambda) := \textstyle\sum_l f_l \quad \underbrace{\lambda\star\cdots\star\lambda}_{l\,-\,\mathrm{times}}\,. \tag{3.22}$$

A Weyl ordered $\star$-function,

$$[f_\star(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}})]_W := \sum_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}(n)} f^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}(n)}\, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}_{(\boldsymbol{\alpha}_1}\star\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}_2}\star\cdots\star\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}_n)}\,,$$

where $f^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}(n)}$ are constants with symmetric indices $\boldsymbol{\alpha}(n)$, is by the property (3.14) equivalent to the classical function,

$$f(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}) = [f_\star(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}})]_W\,. \tag{3.23}$$

The evolution in time τ of the Wigner function in phase space is governed by the Moyal equation,

$$\frac{\partial W}{\partial\tau} + [H,\, W]_\star = 0\,, \tag{3.24}$$

where H is the Hamiltonian. Static Wigner functions, $\partial W/\partial\tau = 0$, satisfy the stronger $\star$-genvalue equation [16],

$$H\star W = W\star H = E\,W\,, \tag{3.25}$$

where E is the energy of the system. The explicit computation of the $\star$-product (3.25) leads to a differential operator acting on W, thus defining a standard eigenvalue problem.

From (3.25) follows the static Moyal equation,

$$[H,\, W]_\star = 0\,. \tag{3.26}$$

Some useful lemmas used in the computations performed in this paper are:

- multiplication of a function by the square of a symplectic vector:

$$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\star f(\mathcal{L}) = \boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}} f(\mathcal{L}) + i\ell_{\mathtt{H}}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}_{(\boldsymbol{\alpha}}\frac{\partial}{\partial\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}^{\boldsymbol{\beta})}} f(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}) - \frac{{\ell_{\mathtt{H}}}^2}{4}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}\partial\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}^{\boldsymbol{\beta}}} f(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}})\,, \tag{3.27}$$

$$f(\mathcal{L})\star\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = \boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}} f(\mathcal{L}) - i\ell_{\mathtt{H}}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}_{(\boldsymbol{\alpha}}\frac{\partial}{\partial\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}^{\boldsymbol{\beta})}} f(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}) - \frac{{\ell_{\mathtt{H}}}^2}{4}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}\partial\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}^{\boldsymbol{\beta}}} f(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}})\,, \tag{3.28}$$

- the fourth order $\star$-product of a symplectic vector:

$$\begin{aligned}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\star\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}_{\boldsymbol{\zeta}} \;&=\; \boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}_{\boldsymbol{\zeta}} + i\frac{\ell_{\mathtt{H}}}{2}\left(\boldsymbol{C}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha\xi}}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}_{\boldsymbol{\zeta}} + \boldsymbol{C}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha\zeta}}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} + \boldsymbol{C}_{\boldsymbol{\beta\xi}}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}_{\boldsymbol{\zeta}} + \boldsymbol{C}_{\boldsymbol{\beta\zeta}}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}\right)\\ &\quad -\frac{{\ell_{\mathtt{H}}}^2}{4}\left(\boldsymbol{C}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha\xi}}\boldsymbol{C}_{\boldsymbol{\beta\zeta}} + \boldsymbol{C}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha\zeta}}\boldsymbol{C}_{\boldsymbol{\beta\xi}}\right)\,. \end{aligned}\tag{3.29}$$

where we made use of the relations $\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}}{\partial\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}^{\alpha}} = \boldsymbol{C}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha\beta}}$ and $\boldsymbol{C}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha\beta}}\frac{\partial f(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}})}{\partial\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}} = \frac{\partial f(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}})}{\partial\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}^{\alpha}}$.

Example: Quantization of the harmonic oscillator

Here we will review quantization of the harmonic oscillator (see [18, 40]). The harmonic oscillator in d spatial dimensions has Hamiltonian,

$$H_d := \frac{1}{2}\delta^{\boldsymbol{\alpha\beta}}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}\,\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = \frac{1}{2}(\overrightarrow{p}^2 + \overrightarrow{q}^2)\,, \qquad \overrightarrow{q}\,, \overrightarrow{p}\ \in \mathbb{R}^d\,. \tag{3.30}$$

Here we introduced a standard notation for the $SO(d)$ invariant product in $\mathbb{R}^d$,

$$\overrightarrow{v}^2 := \overrightarrow{v}\cdot\overrightarrow{v} = (v_1)^2 + \cdots + (v_d)^2\,, \qquad \overrightarrow{v} = (v_1, \cdots, v_d)\ \in\ \mathbb{R}^d\,. \tag{3.31}$$

With the help of (3.27), we find the $\star$-eigenfunctions of H,

$$H_d\star W_{n_1,\cdots,n_d}(\overrightarrow{q}, \overrightarrow{p}) = E_{n_1,\cdots,n_d}\,W_{n_1,\cdots,n_d}(\overrightarrow{q}, \overrightarrow{p})\,, \qquad E_{n_1,\cdots,n_d} = \ell_{\mathtt{H}}\left(n_1 + \cdots + n_d + \frac{d}{2}\right). \tag{3.32}$$

where

$$W_{n_1,\cdots,n_d}(\overrightarrow{q}, \overrightarrow{p}) := \Pi_{k=1}^{d}\, W_{n_k}(q_k, p_k)\,, \tag{3.33}$$

is the classical product of solutions of the $d = 1$-dimensional problem,

$$W_n(q,p) = \frac{(-1)^n}{\pi\ell_{\mathtt{H}}}\exp\Big(-\frac{p^2+q^2}{\ell_{\mathtt{H}}}\Big)\,L_n\Big(\frac{p^2+q^2}{\ell_{\mathtt{H}}/2}\Big)\,, \qquad L_n(z) := \frac{1}{n!}\,\exp(z)\,\frac{d^n}{dz^n}(z^n\exp(-z))\,, \tag{3.34}$$

being L_n polynomials of Laguerre. They satisfy the completion identity,

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} W_n = {\ell_{\mathtt{H}}}^{-1}\,. \tag{3.35}$$

Though the resulting spectrum of energies is the same as in canonical quantization, the $\star$-genfunctions of the Hamiltonian involve Laguerre and not Hermite Polynomials, while the relation between both kinds of polynomials is given by the relation (3.19).

4 Classical twistor parametrization of light-cones and the Grassmannian $\mathtt{Gr}(2,4)$

Phase space variables can be used like twistors to parametrize the light-cone in $2+1$ and $3+1$ dimensions and the Grassmannian $\mathtt{Gr}(2,4)$. In other words, twistor spaces can be regarded as phase spaces requiring that they and their associated Clifford algebras are real, and that the conjugation matrix with the symplectic matrix in phase spaces are identified. This can be done in Minkowski spaces of dimensions $2,3,4$ Mod 8 where Majorana representations of the Clifford algebra do exist. However here we only consider the $2+1$ and $3+1$ dimensional cases. In the latter case the isomorphism of algebras $sp(2) \cong so(2,1)$ and $sp(4) \cong so(3,2)$ insures that both types of local covariance, Lorentz and symplectic, are equivalent. In what follows we use the denotations *phase space twistors* or *twistor phase space* to refer to the double role of these variables and their spaces.

4.1 Light-cone in three dimensions

The light-cone in $2+1$ dimensions is defined by the algebraic constraint,

$$x^\mu x_\mu = 0\,, \qquad \mu = 0,1,2, \tag{4.36}$$

where the indices of the coordinates x^μ are contracted using the Minkowski metric $\eta_{\mu\nu}$, with diagonal elements $(-1,1,1)$.

(4.36) can be solved parametrizing the light-cone using quadratic polynomials in phase space

$$x^\mu = \frac{1}{4}\Big(p^2+q^2\,,\; p^2-q^2\,,\; -2qp\Big)\,, \tag{4.37}$$

which defines a map,

$$x^\mu : \mathbb{R}^2 \quad \to \quad \mathcal{C}^2\,, \tag{4.38}$$

with $\mathcal{C}^2$ denoting the two dimensional cone.

The coordinate x^0, the time, takes only positive values, hence (4.37) parametrizes the upper sheet of the light-one. Indeed the map (4.38) covers the cone twice, as we can observe when we pass to polar coordinates,

$$p = r\cos(\theta)\,, \qquad q = r\sin(\theta)\,, \tag{4.39}$$

where r and θ are respectively the radial and angular coordinates, implies

$$x^\mu = \frac{1}{4}r^2\Big(\,1\,,\cos(2\theta)\,,-\sin(2\theta)\Big)\,. \tag{4.40}$$

The map (4.38) is a bijection only in the half-plane.

Phase space vectors will transform in the spin-1/2 adjoint representation of the Lorentz algebra, while their symmetric quadratic products (4.37) will transform in the representation of spin one. This is more evident when we express (4.37) in a twistor-like form,

$$x^\mu = \frac{1}{4}\mathcal{L}^\alpha (\tau^\mu)_\alpha{}^\beta \mathcal{L}_\beta\,, \tag{4.41}$$

where we have introduced the $2+1D$ Dirac matrices in the Majorana representation

$$(\tau_0)_\alpha{}^\beta = -i(\sigma^2)_\alpha{}^\beta, \qquad (\tau_1)_\alpha{}^\beta = (\sigma^1)_\alpha{}^\beta, \qquad (\tau^2)_\alpha{}^\beta = -(\sigma^3)_\alpha{}^\beta,$$

defined in terms of Pauli matrices. They satisfy,

$$(\tau_\mu)_\alpha{}^\xi (\tau_\nu)_\xi{}^\beta = \eta_{\mu\nu}\delta_\alpha{}^\beta + \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda}(\tau^\lambda)_\alpha{}^\beta\,,$$

where the Levy-Civita pseudo-tensor is defined such that $\epsilon_{012} = 1$.

Now $\mathcal{L}$, the phase space vector (3.3) in the case $n = 1$, can be seen as a twistor variable, and the symplectic matrix $C_{\alpha\beta}$ as a conjugation matrix. Indeed, in this representation of the Clifford algebra we can raise and lower indices as $(\tau_\mu)^{\beta\alpha} = C^{\alpha\xi}(\tau_\mu)_\xi{}^\beta$, or $(\tau_\mu)_\alpha{}^\xi C_{\xi\beta}$, obtaining symmetric and real matrices,

$$(\tau_\mu)^{\alpha\beta} = (\tau_\mu)^{\beta\alpha}\,, \qquad (\tau_\mu)_{\alpha\beta} = (\tau_\mu)_{\beta\alpha}\,, \quad (\tau_\mu)^*_{\alpha\beta} = (\tau_\mu)_{\alpha\beta} \quad ((\tau_\mu)^{\alpha\beta})^* = (\tau_\mu)^{\alpha\beta}\,,$$

where we have used conventions like in (3.6). With the reality condition $\mathcal{L}^*_\alpha = \mathcal{L}_\alpha$ the light-cone coordinates (4.41) will remain real-valued.

That (4.41) solves (4.36) can be verified also using the identity,

$$(\tau^\mu)_\alpha{}^\beta(\tau_\mu)_{\alpha'}{}^{\beta'} = 2\delta_\alpha{}^{\beta'}\,\delta_{\alpha'}{}^\beta - \delta_\alpha{}^\beta\,\delta_{\alpha'}{}^{\beta'}, \tag{4.42}$$

and that $\mathcal{L}_\alpha\mathcal{L}^\alpha = [\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2] = 0$, since the $\mathcal{L}$ variables are c-numbers.

The $Sp(2)$ transformations in phase space,

$$\mathcal{L}'_a = g_\alpha{}^\beta\mathcal{L}_\beta\,, \qquad g := \exp(\varepsilon^\mu J_\mu)\,, \tag{4.43}$$

can be generated by the matrices

$$J_\mu := \frac{\tau_\mu}{2}\,, \tag{4.44}$$

which satisfy the $so(2,1) \cong sp(2)$ algebra,

$$[J_\mu,\, J_\nu] = \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda}J^\lambda\,, \tag{4.45}$$

and with parameters ε^μ. (4.43) induces the transformation,

$$x'^\mu = \frac{1}{4}\mathcal{L}'^\alpha(\tau^\mu)_\alpha{}^\beta\mathcal{L}'_\beta = \Lambda^\mu{}_\nu x^\nu\,, \tag{4.46}$$

which is equivalent to

$$\Lambda^\mu{}_\nu = (\exp(\varepsilon^\lambda\mathcal{J}_\lambda))^\mu{}_\nu\,, \tag{4.47}$$

i.e. the exponential of the spin-1 Lorentz algebra generated by the Levy-Civita pseudotensor,

$$(\mathcal{J}_\lambda)^\mu{}_\nu := \epsilon^\mu{}_{\lambda\nu}\,. \tag{4.48}$$

4.2 Light-cone in four dimensions and the Grassmannian $\mathtt{Gr}(2,4)$

To be systematic, consider now a four dimensional twistor phase space, spanned by vectors

$$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}_\alpha} = (q_1, q_2, p_1, p_2) \quad \in \quad \mathbb{R}^4\,, \tag{4.49}$$

and proceed as before. The embedding of the light-cone in four dimensions is now given by[2],

$$X^{\boldsymbol{\mu}} = \frac{1}{4}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}^\alpha}(\gamma^{\boldsymbol{\mu}})_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}{}^{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}_\beta}\,, \qquad \boldsymbol{\alpha} = 1,2,3,4\,, \quad \boldsymbol{\mu} = 0,1,2,3, \tag{4.50}$$

where

$$(\gamma^0)_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}{}^{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^0 \\ -\sigma^0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (\gamma^1)_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}{}^{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^0 \\ \sigma^0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$(\gamma^2)_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}{}^{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma^3 & 0 \\ 0 & -\sigma^3 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (\gamma^3)_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}{}^{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = \begin{pmatrix} -\sigma^1 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma^1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

---

[2] In order to not introduce too many symbols for indices of vectors in space-time and in phase space in different dimensions we used regular characters $\alpha = 1,2$ and $\mu = 0,1,2$ and boldface characters for $\boldsymbol{\alpha} = 1,2,3,4$ and $\boldsymbol{\mu} = 0,1,2,3$ in the present case.

are Dirac matrices in a Majorana representation. They have the symmetry properties,

$$(\gamma^{\mu})^{\alpha\beta} := \boldsymbol{C}^{\alpha\rho}(\gamma^{\mu})_{\rho}{}^{\beta} \qquad (\gamma^{\mu})_{\alpha\beta} := (\gamma^{\mu})_{\alpha}{}^{\rho}\boldsymbol{C}_{\rho\beta}\,, \quad (\gamma^{\mu})^{\alpha\beta} = (\gamma^{\mu})^{\beta\alpha}, \quad (\gamma^{\mu})_{\alpha\beta} = (\gamma^{\mu})_{\beta\alpha}, \tag{4.51}$$

and are real-valued. Again, we have used the symplectic matrix (3.5) as the conjugation matrix of the Clifford algebra generated by γ^{μ}'s, which is possible owing to the algebra isomorphism $sp(4) \cong so(3,2)$.

From (4.50) we obtain,

$$\begin{aligned} X^0 &= \tfrac{1}{4}(p_1^2 + q_1^2 + p_2^2 + q_2^2), \qquad X^1 = \tfrac{1}{4}(p_1^2 - q_1^2 + p_2^2 - q_2^2), \\ X^2 &= \tfrac{1}{2}(q_1 p_1 - q_2 p_2), \qquad X^3 = -\tfrac{1}{2}(q_1 p_2 + q_2 p_1)\,, \end{aligned} \tag{4.52}$$

and we can verify that

$$X^{\mu} X_{\mu} = 0\,, \tag{4.53}$$

where the Minkowski metric $\eta_{\mu\nu}$, with diagonal elements $(-1, 1, 1, 1)$, has been used in the contraction of vector indices.

The light-cone in four dimensions is a three dimensional manifold, like the "world volume" of a sphere expanding at the speed of light, however the phase space is four dimensional. Hence, some directions of the phase space do not appear in (4.50).

The lost direction should appear from other quadratic products of phase space/twistors. Consider the contraction

$$Z^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}^{\alpha}(\gamma^{\mu\nu})_{\alpha}{}^{\beta}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}_{\beta}\,, \qquad \gamma^{\mu\nu} := \frac{1}{4}[\,\gamma^{\mu}\,,\,\gamma^{\nu}\,]\,, \tag{4.54}$$

equivalent to,

$$Z^{0i} = \left(-\frac{1}{2}(q_1 p_1 + q_2 p_2),\, \frac{1}{4}(p_1^2 - q_1^2 - p_2^2 + q_2^2),\, \frac{1}{2}(q_1 q_2 - p_1 p_2)\right) \tag{4.55}$$

$$Z^{i} = \left(\frac{1}{2}(q_1 p_2 - q_2 p_1),\, -\frac{1}{2}(p_1 p_2 + q_1 q_2),\, \frac{1}{4}(-p_1^2 - q_1^2 + p_2^2 + q_2^2)\right)\,, \tag{4.56}$$

where we defined

$$Z^{i} := \tfrac{1}{2}\epsilon_{ijk} Z^{ij} = (Z^{23}, Z^{31}, Z^{12})\,, \quad i, j, k = 1, 2, 3, \tag{4.57}$$

and ϵ_{ijk} is the euclidean Levy-Civita pseudo-tensor.

The tensor $Z^{\mu\nu}$ has four non-equivalent degrees of freedom. The redundancy of the six Zs is reflected in the identities, $Z_{01}Z_{23} + Z_{12}Z_{03} + Z_{20}Z_{13} = 0$, equivalent to

$$\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} Z_{\mu\nu} Z_{\lambda\rho} = 0\,, \tag{4.58}$$

and the identity

$$Z^{\mu\nu} Z_{\mu\nu} = 0\,, \tag{4.59}$$

where the Levi-Civita pseudotensor is such that $\epsilon_{0123} = 1$.

Note that with raised spinor/symplectic indices the matrix $[\,\gamma^{\mu}\,,\,\gamma^{\mu}\,]^{\alpha\beta} = [\,\gamma^{\mu}\,,\,\gamma^{\mu}\,]^{\alpha\beta}$ is symmetric and real. Together with $(\gamma^{\mu})^{\alpha\beta}$ they span the maximum number of 4×4 symmetric matrices, ten. The remaining anti-symmetric matrices in the 4×4 matrix algebra yield vanishing contractions with $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}_{\alpha}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}_{\beta} = \boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}_{\beta}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}_{\alpha}$, so that there are no more independent quadratic polynomials in the four dimensional twistor phase space.

The constraints (4.58) are known as Plücker constraints and they define the Grassmannian $\mathtt{Gr}(2,4)$. This is the set of all two-planes through the origin of a four dimensional space, here with Lorentz signature, and their coordinates are given by the components of the tensor $Z^{\mu\nu}$, called Plücker coordinates.

The generalization of this variety to higher dimensional k-planes through the origin of n-dimensional vector spaces are denoted $\mathtt{Gr}(k,n)$, and were studied by H. Grassmann. They play an important role in algebraic geometry.

It is worth to showing how the Plücker coordinates describe planes. Consider two vectors v^1 and v^2 in the four dimensional Minkowski space-time. Their exterior product,

$$\mathcal{Z}^{\boldsymbol{\mu\nu}} := (v^1)^{\boldsymbol{\mu}}\,(v^2)^{\boldsymbol{\nu}} - (v^2)^{\boldsymbol{\mu}}\,(v^1)^{\boldsymbol{\nu}}\,, \tag{4.60}$$

describes the plane containing both vectors, v^1 and v^2. Now, with the identification,

$$(v^1)^{\boldsymbol{\mu}} = X^{\boldsymbol{\mu}}\,, \qquad (v^2)^{\boldsymbol{\nu}} = (0, Z^{01}\,, Z^{02}\,, Z^{03}) \tag{4.61}$$

we obtain

$$\mathcal{Z}^{\boldsymbol{\mu\nu}} = X^0\, Z^{\boldsymbol{\mu\nu}}\,. \tag{4.62}$$

Up to scaling by the time coordinate $X^0 > 0$, $\mathcal{Z}^{\boldsymbol{\mu\nu}}$ and $Z^{\boldsymbol{\mu\nu}}$ describe the same plane. Indeed, the length of the vectors generating the plane (4.60) does not matter as long as it does not vanish. More generally, any linear combination of the basis v^1 and v^2 generates the same plane. This is reflected in the homogeneity of equation (4.58).

The additional relation (4.59) is a consequence of the norm and orthogonality of the vectors generating the $Z^{\boldsymbol{\mu\nu}}$ plane,

$$X^i X_i = Z^{0i} Z^0{}_i = (X^0)^2\,, \qquad X^i Z^0{}_i = 0\,. \tag{4.63}$$

Additionally the following identity can be verified,

$$\epsilon_{\boldsymbol{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}}\, X^{\boldsymbol{\mu}}\, Z^{\boldsymbol{\nu\lambda}} = 0\,, \tag{4.64}$$

meaning that the light-ray X lies on the plane Z.

5 Quantization in twistor phase space: $\star$-product and the geometrical Wigner function

The first example of non-commutative space-time was proposed by Snyder [42,43], where the coordinates of the de Sitter space-time were represented as differential operators and extended with Lorentz generators, thus spanning a representation of the $so(4,1)$ algebra. Other simple examples of non-commutative geometries are the *fuzzy sphere* [26,27] and the (two-dimensional) quantum hyperboloid [24,25]. The generalization of these ideas to the broadest class of geometries has become a branch of mathematics known more generally as non-commutative geometry [44]. Indeed, the construction presented in section (3), i.e. the phase space (3.3) endowed with a $\star$ -product, is known as Groenewold-Moyal space, Moyal space, or other similar names (See e.g. [45–49]), when viewed from a non-commutative geometry point of view. This space has inspired their generalization to more general non-commutative geometries making use of $\star$ -products, in particular in the quantization of Poisson manifolds [17,18,21], but also other spaces (see [50] and references therein).

Using the equivalence of phase space and twistor space shown in section 4, it is simple to endow the twistor space with a non-commutative Groenewold-Moyal product and make it non-commutative. Thus, expressing the coordinates of light-cones and the Grassmannian $\mathtt{Gr}(2,4)$ in terms of twistor phase space variables is, in our approach, the first step towards their quantization. By quantization we mean that together with the deformation of the algebra of observables in twistor phase space, using the $\star$-product, a prescription for the measurement of their expectation values is needed. The latter is done here by introducing the *geometrical Wigner function*. This is the Wigner function in the Groenewold-Moyal approach but regarded geometrically, as it will be defined as a function in twistor phase space.

We will determine the spectra of observables in non-commutative twistor phase space solving their $\star$-genvalue equation, in the same way as this is done in the *phase space formulation of quantum mechanics* [16,19]. This is,

$$G{\star}W = g\,W\,, \tag{5.65}$$

where g is the $\star$-genvalue of the geometric observable G, and W is the Wigner function.

From (5.65), the variance of G with respect to the distribution W,

$$\mathtt{Var}(G) := \langle G{\star}G\rangle - \langle G\rangle^2 \,, \tag{5.66}$$

vanishes since, from (3.18) and (5.65), $\langle G{\star}G\rangle = g^2\langle 1\rangle = g^2$ and $\langle G\rangle^2 = g^2$, accurate measurement of G is insured.

In the general case W will be a function in twistor phase space, but if it is an even function, it can be expanded in a basis of even-polynomial in twistor phase space, hence it can be expressed as a function of the coordinates of the light-cone and of the Grassmannian.

In particular, G can be chosen to be some of the coordinates of the space-time in itself, or other geometrical objects, like area, volume, etc. When G is chosen as the time coordinate, which is our case, the spectrum of the time will be determined, leading to a foliation of the space-time in spatial slices. From non-commutativity, positions on these slices will be undetermined, though some observables could still be determined. At a given time, W can be interpreted as encoding the perspective of an observer of a spatial view plane.

5.1 Quantization of the light-cone in $2+1$ dimensions

From the definition of x^μ in terms of phase space twistors (4.41) and the lemma (3.29), we obtain the following deformation of the product of light-cone coordinates,

$$x^\mu {\star}\, x^\nu = x^\mu\, x^\nu + \frac{i\ell_{\mathtt{H}}}{2}\epsilon^{\mu\nu}{}_\lambda\, x^\lambda + \frac{{\ell_{\mathtt{H}}}^2}{16}\eta^{\mu\nu} \,, \tag{5.67}$$

which involves only Lorentz-covariant structures, the coordinates, the Levy-Civita pseudo-tensor and the metric tensor. Note that, though non-perturbative, the $\star$-product provides corrections to the classical product $x^\mu\, x^\nu$ up to order ${\ell_{\mathtt{H}}}^2$.

Now, the quantum deformation of the light-cone constraint (4.36) yields,

$$x^\mu {\star} x_\mu = \frac{3}{16}{\ell_{\mathtt{H}}}^2 \,. \tag{5.68}$$

We observe here that this prescription of geometry quantization yields a deformation of the light-cone equivalent to a quantum one-sheet hyperboloid. From another point of view this can be regarded as the constraint defining a two-dimensional *quantum de Sitter space*. Thus the quantization of the cone smooths out the conical singularity.

With the $\star$-commutator the light-cone coordinates span a representation of the algebra $so(2,1) \cong sp(2) \cong sl(2,\mathbb{R})$,

$$[x^\mu\,,\, x^\nu]_\star = i\ell_{\mathtt{H}}\epsilon^{\mu\nu}{}_\lambda\, x^\lambda \,. \tag{5.69}$$

This can be extended with the following relations,

$$[x_\mu, \mathcal{L}_\alpha]_\star = -\tfrac{i}{2}\ell_{\mathtt{H}}(\tau_\mu)_\alpha{}^\beta\mathcal{L}_\beta, \tag{5.70}$$

$$\{\mathcal{L}_\alpha, \mathcal{L}_\beta\}_\star = 4x^\mu\,(\tau_\mu)_{\alpha\beta} \,, \tag{5.71}$$

where the latter is the $\star$-anti-commutator (3.11). (5.69), (5.70) and (5.71) span a representation of the $osp(1|2)$ superalgebra. The Casimir operator of the $sl(2,\mathbb{R}) \cong so(1,2)$ is equivalent to the hyperboloid constraint (5.68)

$$x^\mu {\star} x_\mu = -s(s-1){\ell_{\mathtt{H}}}^2 \,, \qquad s = \frac{1}{4}\,, \frac{3}{4}\,, \tag{5.72}$$

from which the spin of the representation is either 1/4 or 3/4. Indeed, the representation spanned by x^μ is reducible, consisting of a direct sum of spins 1/4 and 3/4 representations. The degeneracy of the spin can be regarded as a consequence of supersymmetry,

$$[x^\mu {\star} x_\mu, \mathcal{L}_\alpha]_\star = 0 \,, \tag{5.73}$$

generated by the "square roots" of x^μ, $\mathcal{L}_\alpha$.

We have chosen a parametrization of the coordinates of the light-cone in terms of phase space twistors such that the time direction coincides with the expression of the Harmonic oscillator Hamiltonian (cf. (3.30) and (4.37)). Hence we can use the Wigner $\star$-genfunction of the one-dimensional harmonic oscillator (3.34), reinterpreted as the time-coordinate in space-time, and $\ell_{\mathtt{H}}$ providing the units of a length. Thus the spectrum of the time reads,

$$x^0 \star W_n = \ell_{\mathtt{H}} \Big(\frac{n}{2} + \frac{1}{4}\Big) W_n \, , \qquad n = 0, 1, 2, ... \tag{5.74}$$

It follows that the expectation values of time are

$$\langle x^0 \rangle_n = \int d^2\mathcal{L} \;\; x^0 \star W_n = \ell_{\mathtt{H}} \Big(\frac{n}{2} + \frac{1}{4}\Big) \, , \qquad n = 0, 1, 2, ... \tag{5.75}$$

The expectation value of the square of the radial coordinate,

$$r^2 := x^1 \star x^1 + x^2 \star x^2 \, , \tag{5.76}$$

and the time are related through the equation (5.68),

$$\langle r^2 \rangle = \langle (x^0)^2 + \frac{3}{16} {\ell_{\mathtt{H}}}^2 \rangle \, . \tag{5.77}$$

Thus, we obtain that the time is quantized, as well as the square of the radius of the section of the quantum hyperboloid at constant time $\langle x^0 \rangle_n$,

$$\langle r^2 \rangle_n = \langle (x^0)^2 + \frac{3}{16} {\ell_{\mathtt{H}}}^2 \rangle_n = \frac{\ell_{\mathtt{H}}}{4} (n^2 + n + 1) \, , \qquad n = 0, 1, 2, ... \tag{5.78}$$

The latter discrete radius circles will be referred to as *quantum circles* later, since positions on their perimeter cannot be determined from non-commutativity of the coordinates x^1 and x^2. From (5.78) the area of a disc is quantized,

$$\langle \mathcal{A}_{disc} \rangle_n = \langle \pi r^2 \rangle_n = \frac{\pi \ell_{\mathtt{H}}}{4} (n^2 + n + 1) \, , \qquad n = 0, 1, 2, ... \tag{5.79}$$

Thus the values of the time and the radius of the circles are positive definite and bounded from below,

$$\langle x^0 \rangle_0 = \frac{\ell_{\mathtt{H}}}{4} \, , \qquad \langle r^2 \rangle_0 = \frac{{\ell_{\mathtt{H}}}^2}{4} \, . \tag{5.80}$$

5.2 Quantization of the light-cone in $3+1$ dimensions and the Grassmannian $\mathtt{Gr}(2,4)$

From the definition of the $\star$-product (3.4) in the four dimensional case, we obtain the $\star$-product deformation of the constraints (4.53), (4.58) and (4.64) respectively ,

$$X^\mu \star X_\mu = \frac{1}{2} {\ell_{\mathtt{H}}}^2 \, , \tag{5.81}$$

$$\epsilon^{\boldsymbol{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}} \, Z_{\boldsymbol{\mu\nu}} \star Z_{\boldsymbol{\lambda\rho}} = 0 \, , \tag{5.82}$$

$$\epsilon_{\boldsymbol{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}} \, X^{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \star Z^{\boldsymbol{\nu\lambda}} = 0 \, . \tag{5.83}$$

Like in the three dimensional case, the light-cone acquires a non-vanishing radius at the origin, thus defining a quantum hyperboloid. Alternatively (5.81) can be regarded as a quantum de Sitter space of three dimensions with some subtleties to be mentioned below.

The quantum equivalent of the constraint (4.58) that defines the Grassmannian $\mathtt{Gr}(2,4)$ keeps its form, hence there is a notion of *quantum Grassmannian.* The constraint (4.64) remains undeformed after quantization, which means that the "quantum light-ray" X^{μ} lies on the plane $Z^{\mu\nu}$. The identity (4.59) changes however,

$$Z^{\mu\nu}{\star}Z_{\mu\nu} = -\frac{3}{2}{\ell_{\mathtt{H}}}^{2}\,, \tag{5.84}$$

which is related to the change of the norm of the vectors generating the plane $Z^{\mu\nu}$, but, due to the homogeneity of the quantum Plücker constraint (5.82), it does not depend on the norm of the generating vectors.

With the help of the relations (3.29) and the expressions (4.50) and (4.54) we can derive the associative products:

$$X^{\mu}{\star}X^{\nu} = X^{\mu}X^{\nu} + \frac{i\ell_{\mathtt{H}}}{2}Z^{\mu\nu} + \frac{{\ell_{\mathtt{H}}}^{2}}{8}\eta^{\mu\nu}\,, \tag{5.85}$$

$$Z^{\mu\nu}{\star}Z^{\lambda\rho} = Z^{\mu\nu}Z^{\lambda\rho} + i\frac{\ell_{\mathtt{H}}}{2}(\eta^{\nu\lambda}Z^{\mu\rho} - \eta^{\mu\lambda}Z^{\nu\rho} + \eta^{\nu\rho}Z^{\lambda\mu} - \eta^{\mu\rho}Z^{\lambda\nu}) + \frac{{\ell_{\mathtt{H}}}^{2}}{8}(\eta^{\mu\rho}\eta^{\nu\lambda} - \eta^{\mu\lambda}\eta^{\nu\rho})\,, \tag{5.86}$$

$$X^{\mu}{\star}Z^{\nu\lambda} = X^{\mu}Z^{\nu\lambda} - i\frac{\ell_{\mathtt{H}}}{2}(\eta^{\lambda\mu}X^{\nu} - \eta^{\nu\mu}X^{\lambda})\,, \qquad Z^{\nu\lambda}{\star}X^{\mu} = X^{\mu}Z^{\nu\lambda} + i\frac{\ell_{\mathtt{H}}}{2}(\eta^{\lambda\mu}X^{\nu} - \eta^{\nu\mu}X^{\lambda})\,. \tag{5.87}$$

Hence, using the Moyal bracket one finds the following commutation relations,

$$\begin{aligned}
[X^{\mu},\, X^{\nu}]_{\star} &= i\ell_{\mathtt{H}}Z^{\mu\nu}\,, && (5.88)\\
[Z^{\mu\nu},\, X^{\lambda}]_{\star} &= i\ell_{\mathtt{H}}(\eta^{\nu\lambda}X^{\mu} - \eta^{\mu\lambda}X^{\nu})\,, && (5.89)\\
[Z^{\mu\nu},\, Z^{\lambda\rho}]_{\star} &= i\ell_{\mathtt{H}}(\eta^{\nu\lambda}Z^{\mu\rho} - \eta^{\mu\lambda}Z^{\nu\rho} + \eta^{\nu\rho}Z^{\lambda\mu} - \eta^{\mu\rho}Z^{\lambda\nu})\,, && (5.90)
\end{aligned}$$

thus spanning a representation of $so(3,2)$ algebra. Extended with the (anti)commutators,

$$\begin{aligned}
[X^{\mu},\, \mathcal{L}_{\alpha}]_{\star} &= -\frac{\ell_{\mathtt{H}}}{2}(\gamma^{\mu})_{\alpha}{}^{\beta}\mathcal{L}_{\beta}\,, && (5.91)\\
[Z^{\mu\nu},\, \mathcal{L}_{\alpha}]_{\star} &= -\ell_{\mathtt{H}}\,(\gamma^{\mu\nu})_{\alpha}{}^{\beta}\mathcal{L}_{\beta}\,, && (5.92)\\
\{\mathcal{L}_{\alpha},\, \mathcal{L}_{\beta}\}_{\star} &= 2X^{\mu}\,(\gamma_{\mu})_{\alpha\beta} - 2Z^{\mu\nu}\,(\gamma_{\mu\nu})_{\alpha\beta}\,, && (5.93)
\end{aligned}$$

the $so(3,2)$ algebra is extended to the $osp(1|4)$ superalgebra. The operator equivalent of this representation of supersymmetry was studied in [51, 52], though it was implicit in an early paper of Majorana [29, 30]. Thus this remarkable representation discovered so early on corresponds to the quantum geometry of $\mathtt{Gr}(2,4)$ and its contained quantum hyperboloid.

The quadratic products (5.81), (5.82), (5.83) and (5.84) are $osp(1|4)$ invariant, since the infinitesimal transformations generated by $\mathcal{L}_{\alpha}$ leave invariant the whole set of constraints satisfied by the light-cone and Plücker coordinates,

$$\begin{gathered}
[X^{\mu}{\star}X_{\mu},\, \mathcal{L}_{\alpha}]_{\star} = 0\,,\\
[\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}Z_{\mu\nu}{\star}Z_{\lambda\rho},\, \mathcal{L}_{\alpha}]_{\star} = 0\,,\\
[Z^{\mu\nu}{\star}Z_{\mu\nu},\, \mathcal{L}_{\beta}]_{\star} = 0\\
[\epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}X^{\mu}{\star}Z^{\nu\lambda},\, \mathcal{L}_{\alpha}]_{\star} = 0\,.
\end{gathered} \tag{5.94}$$

The Wigner function associated to the time X^{0} (4.52) is found by considering its equivalence with the two-dimensional harmonic oscillator Hamiltonian (3.33). This is,

$$X^{0}{\star}W_{nm} = \frac{\ell_{\mathtt{H}}}{2}\,(n+m+1)\,W_{nm}\,, \qquad n,m = 0,1,2,... \tag{5.95}$$

where

$$W_{nm} = W_n(q_1,p_1) \star W_m(q_2,p_2) = W_n(q_1,p_1)\, W_m(q_2,p_2)\,, \qquad n,m = 0,1,2,... \tag{5.96}$$

Thus its expectation value is,

$$\langle X^0 \rangle_{nm} = \int d^4\mathcal{L}\;\; X^0 \star W_{nm} = \frac{\ell_{\mathtt{H}}}{2}\,(n+m+1)\,, \tag{5.97}$$

The square of the radius of the sphere spanned by the front of light-rays,

$$R^2 := X^1 \star X^1 + X^2 \star X^2 + X^3 \star X^3\,, \tag{5.98}$$

is also quantized since,

$$\langle R^2 \rangle = \langle X^0 \star X^0 + \frac{1}{2}{\ell_{\mathtt{H}}}^2 \rangle\,, \tag{5.99}$$

$$\langle R^2 \rangle_{nm} = \langle X^0 \star X^0 + \frac{1}{2}{\ell_{\mathtt{H}}}^2 \rangle_n = \frac{{\ell_{\mathtt{H}}}^2}{4}\Big((n+m)^2 + 2(n+m) + 3\Big)\,, \qquad n,m = 0,1,2,... \tag{5.100}$$

We will refer to these discrete radius spheres as *quantum spheres*, since positions on their surface cannot be determined from quantum uncertainty and non-commutativity of its coordinates.

Hence the area of spheres

$$\langle \mathcal{A}_{S^2} \rangle_{nm} = \pi {\ell_{\mathtt{H}}}^2 \Big((n+m)^2 + 2(n+m) + 3\Big)\,, \qquad n,m = 0,1,2,... \tag{5.101}$$

is also quantized.

Again, the time and the radius of the cone are bounded from below,

$$\langle X^0 \rangle_{00} = \frac{\ell_{\mathtt{H}}}{2}\,, \qquad \langle R^2 \rangle_{00} = \frac{3}{4}{\ell_{\mathtt{H}}}^2\,. \tag{5.102}$$

Since $[X^0, Z^3]_\star = 0$ (5.89) the Wigner function for the time coordinate is also a $\star$-eigenfunction of Z^3 (4.57),

$$Z^3 \star W_{nm} = \frac{\ell_{\mathtt{H}}}{2}\,(m-n) W_{nm}\,, \tag{5.103}$$

and hence,

$$\langle Z^3 \rangle_{nm} = \frac{\ell_{\mathtt{H}}}{2}\,(m-n)\,. \tag{5.104}$$

Thus X^0 and Z^3 are Cartan sub-algebra generators of the $so(3,2)$ algebra generated by $X^{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$ and $Z^{\boldsymbol{\mu\nu}}$ with the $\star$-product.

5.3 Quantization of area and volume

Using the light-cone and Plücker coordinantes we can construct three independent vectors,

$$\overrightarrow{X} = (X^1, X^2, X^3)\,, \qquad \overrightarrow{Y} = (Z^{01}, Z^{02}, Z^{03})\,, \qquad \overrightarrow{Z} = (Z^1, Z^2, Z^3)\,, \tag{5.105}$$

which are orthonormal,

$$\overrightarrow{X}\cdot\overrightarrow{X} = \overrightarrow{Y}\cdot\overrightarrow{Y} = \overrightarrow{Z}\cdot\overrightarrow{Z} = (X^0)^2\,, \qquad \overrightarrow{X}\cdot\overrightarrow{Y} = \overrightarrow{X}\cdot\overrightarrow{Z} = \overrightarrow{Y}\cdot\overrightarrow{Z} = 0\,. \tag{5.106}$$

Here "$\cdot$" is the scalar product in $\mathbb{R}^3$. By introducing the cross product in $\mathbb{R}^3$ we can verify from the definitions (4.50) and (4.54) that,

$$\overrightarrow{X}\times\overrightarrow{Y} = X^0\overrightarrow{Z}\,, \quad \overrightarrow{Y}\times\overrightarrow{Z} = X^0\overrightarrow{X}\,, \quad \overrightarrow{Z}\times\overrightarrow{X} = X^0\overrightarrow{Y}\,. \tag{5.107}$$

The products (5.106) and (5.107) can be deformed using the $\star$-product among vectors in addition to the scalar and cross product in $\mathbb{R}^3$, denoted respectively $\cdot_\star$ and $\times_\star$. Then, making use of the expressions (5.85), (5.86) and (5.87) we obtain,

$$\begin{aligned} &\vec{X} \cdot_\star \vec{X} = \vec{Y} \cdot_\star \vec{Y} = X^0 \star X^0 + \tfrac{{\ell_{\mathtt{H}}}^2}{2}\,, \qquad \vec{Z} \cdot_\star \vec{Z} = X^0 \star X^0 - \tfrac{{\ell_{\mathtt{H}}}^2}{4}\,, \\ &\vec{X} \cdot_\star \vec{Y} = -\vec{Y} \cdot_\star \vec{X} = -\tfrac{i3\ell_{\mathtt{H}}}{2} X^0\,, \qquad \vec{X} \cdot_\star \vec{Z} = \vec{Y} \cdot_\star \vec{Z} = \vec{Z} \cdot_\star \vec{X} = \vec{Z} \cdot_\star \vec{Y} = 0\,, \end{aligned} \tag{5.108}$$

and,

$$\vec{X} \times_\star \vec{Y} = X^0 \star \vec{Z}\,, \qquad \vec{Y} \times_\star \vec{Z} = X^0 \star \vec{X} - \tfrac{i3\ell_{\mathtt{H}}}{2} \vec{Y}\,, \qquad \vec{Z} \times_\star \vec{X} = X^0 \star \vec{Y} + \tfrac{i3\ell_{\mathtt{H}}}{2} \vec{X}\,. \tag{5.109}$$

From (5.108) we observe a deformation of the frame (5.105). Now the length of the vectors is

$$\langle \vec{Z} \cdot_\star \vec{Z} \rangle_{nm} = \langle X^0 \star X^0 - \frac{{\ell_{\mathtt{H}}}^2}{4} \rangle_{nm} = {\ell_{\mathtt{H}}}^2 \left(\left(\frac{n+m}{2} \right)^2 + \frac{n+m}{2} \right)\,, \tag{5.110}$$

$$\langle \vec{X} \cdot_\star \vec{X} \rangle_{nm} = \langle \vec{Y} \cdot_\star \vec{Y} \rangle_{nm} = \langle X^0 \star X^0 + \frac{{\ell_{\mathtt{H}}}^2}{2} \rangle_{nm} = \frac{{\ell_{\mathtt{H}}}^2}{4} \Big((n+m)^2 + 2(n+m) + 3 \Big)\,, \tag{5.111}$$

$n, m = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ (cf. (5.100)).

From the cross products (5.109) we obtain a spectrum of the area of the squares with edges X^1 and Y^2, i.e.

$$\langle (\vec{X} \times_\star \vec{Y})_3 \rangle = \int d^4\mathcal{L} \ \ (\vec{X} \times_\star \vec{Y})_3 \star W_{nm} = \frac{{\ell_{\mathtt{H}}}^2}{4} (n+m+1)(n-m)\,.$$

We define the observable associated to the volume of the box with edges $(\vec{X}, \vec{Y}, \vec{Z})$ as the cyclic combination,

$$V = \frac{1}{3} \Big(\vec{Z} \cdot_\star (\vec{X} \times_\star \vec{Y}) + \vec{X} \cdot_\star (\vec{Y} \times_\star \vec{Z}) + \vec{Y} \cdot_\star (\vec{Z} \times_\star \vec{X}) \Big)\,,$$

which insures positive expectation values,

$$\langle V \rangle = \int d^4\mathcal{L} \ \ V \star W_{nm} = \frac{{\ell_{\mathtt{H}}}^3}{8} (n+m+1) \left((n+m+1)^2 + 1 \right)\,.$$

As a final remark for this section, we would like to point out that the associative product of light-cone coordinates in $2+1$ dimensions (5.67) and of the light-cone and the Grassmannian in $3+1$ dimensions (5.85)-(5.86)-(5.87) suggests the definition of general star-products independent of the twistor realization that led to them. This is such that those constants of structure are reproduced independently of the algebraic constraint satisfied by the light-cone and the Grassmannian. For instance (5.85) suggests the following associative product of four dimensional space-time coordinates,

$$\mathbf{X}^{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \star_{gen} \mathbf{X}^{\boldsymbol{\nu}} = \mathbf{X}^{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \mathbf{X}^{\boldsymbol{\nu}} + \frac{i\ell_{\mathtt{H}}}{2} \mathbf{Z}^{\boldsymbol{\mu\nu}} + \frac{{\ell_{\mathtt{H}}}^2}{8} \eta^{\boldsymbol{\mu\nu}}\,, \tag{5.112}$$

can be defined such that the classical invariant $\mathbf{X}^{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \mathbf{X}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \neq 0$ (cf. (4.53)) does not necessarily vanish. The anti-symmetric tensor $\mathbf{Z}^{\boldsymbol{\mu\nu}}$ will be not necessarily null $\mathbf{Z}^{\boldsymbol{\mu\nu}} \mathbf{Z}_{\boldsymbol{\mu\nu}} \neq 0$ (cf. (4.59)), and also the equivalent of the relations (4.58) and (4.64) may not vanish. The constants of structure of the associative product involving $\mathbf{X}^{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$ and $\mathbf{Z}^{\boldsymbol{\mu\nu}}$ can be read from (5.87)-(5.86). The algebra of $\mathbf{X}^{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$ and $\mathbf{Z}^{\boldsymbol{\mu\nu}}$ with the $\star_{gen}$-commutator will lead to a representation of the $so(3,2)$ algebra, and the Casimir operators will combine contributions coming from the definition of $\star_{gen}$ and of the classical invariants $\mathbf{X}^{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \mathbf{X}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$, $\mathbf{Z}^{\boldsymbol{\mu\nu}} \mathbf{Z}_{\boldsymbol{\mu\nu}}$ and $\mathbf{Z}^{\boldsymbol{\mu\nu}} \mathbf{X}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \mathbf{X}_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}$. This observation may be useful for the study of field theories in non-commutative space-time and also of theories of gravity, as they will provide covariant deformations. In fact many times the non-commutativity of coordinates is chosen such that the commutator $[\mathbf{X}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}\,, \mathbf{X}_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}]_{\star_{gen}}$ is a central element, leading to a Heisenberg algebra, hence to the geometry of the *Moyal plane*.

6 Higher spin symmetries of the light-cone and $\mathtt{Gr}(2,4)$

Non-commutative twistor space is an essential ingredient of Vasiliev's theory of higher spin gravity [33–37]. The theory of Vasiliev is a gauge theory of gravity where the spin connection and the frame field are infinitely extended by fields carrying all possible spin, including fractional spin in the case of Chern-Simons higher spin gravity [53, 54]. The gauge algebras of these theories are called higher spin algebras and they are constructed out of Weyl algebras of non-commutative twistors, basically the algebra of generators $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}(n)}$, $n = 0, ..., \infty$ (3.14) endowed with the star product (3.4), though a different order of the basis of the Weyl algebra (e.g. normal) with the correspondent definition of the $\star$-product is also possible. The $\star$-product plays an important role there, as the result of the product of generators of the higher spin algebra is re-expanded automatically in a predefined ordered basis. Hence the result of the product of higher spin gauge field valued in this algebra yields directly the contribution to the field strength for the corresponding higher spin field. Thus the higher spin algebra encodes the higher spin field interactions. Vasiliev's theory is, by construction, symmetric under transformations generated by the Weyl algebra, up to graded commutation relation induced by statistics [55–59]. The reader may consult [33] and references therein for further details.

In three dimensions it was shown that the symmetries of the quantum hyperboloid are equivalent to higher spin symmetries [25]. Here we show how this result is generalized to the four dimensional case, to the quantum hyperboloid and the quantum Grassmannian.

The algebraic constraints satisfied by the quantum hyperboloid and the Grassmannian $\mathtt{Gr}(2,4)$, (5.68), (5.81), (5.82), (5.83) and (5.84), are of the form,

$$\mathcal{C}_\star(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}) = 0\,. \tag{6.113}$$

Since their coordinates span representations of Lie (super)algebras containing Lorentz sub-algebras, they generate by themselves their symmetry transformations. Indeed, they possess infinite dimensional symmetries. It will be simple to prove this, since we have already shown that the constraints of the light-cone and the Grassmannian commute with the "square roots" of their coordinates $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$ (5.73) and (5.94), namely

$$[\mathcal{C}_\star(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}), \boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}]_\star = 0\,. \tag{6.114}$$

Hence $\mathcal{C}_\star(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}})$ will commute with arbitrary powers of $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$, and in particular with the infinite generators of the Weyl algebra $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}(n)}$, defined in (3.14),

$$[\mathcal{C}_\star(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}), \boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}(n)}]_\star = 0\,. \tag{6.115}$$

The action of $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}(n)}$ can be exponentiated (cf. (3.22)),

$$\exp_\star(\varepsilon) := \textstyle\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \quad \underbrace{\varepsilon\star\cdots\star\varepsilon}_{k-\text{times}}\,, \tag{6.116}$$

where

$$\varepsilon(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}) := \sum_{\boldsymbol{\alpha},n} \varepsilon^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}(n)} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}(n)}\,,$$

and $\varepsilon^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}(n)} := \varepsilon^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}_1\cdots\boldsymbol{\alpha}_n}$ are the parameters of the transformation.

For

$$h = x^\mu\,, \quad \text{or} \quad h = (X^{\boldsymbol{\mu}},\, Z^{\boldsymbol{\mu\nu}})\,, \tag{6.117}$$

either in 2 + 1 or 3 + 1 dimensions, the transformations

$$\tilde{h} = g\,h\,g^{-1}\,, \qquad g := \exp_\star(\varepsilon(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}))\,, \qquad g^{-1} := \exp_\star(-\varepsilon(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}))\,, \tag{6.118}$$

leave invariant the systems of constraints of the quantum hyperboloids and of $\mathtt{Gr}(2,4)$.

7 Quantum causal structure: Quantum events and expansive quantum gravity

As we observed, the quantization of the light-cone yields quantum hyperboloids. This suggests that at small scales the causal structure of the space-time may be modified. It is therefore natural to study the physics that would be derived if the quantum hyperboloid rules the causal structure of the space-time.

We observed in (5.74) and (5.95) that for a time-observer the time is discrete and there is a minimal scale before which there is no notion of time (5.80)-(5.102). Constant time sections of the quantum hyperboloid are quantum circles (5.78) or quantum spheres (5.100), respectively in $2+1$ or $3+1$ dimensions, and their radius are bounded from below. Inside the later bounds there is no notion of time, hence no notion of causality. Thus, punctual events must be replaced by *quantum events*, which at a determined time occur in finite regions of space dubbed here *quantum discs* or *quantum balls* in $2+1$ or $3+1$ dimensions respectively, and which are bounded by the quantum circle (5.80) or the quantum sphere (5.102).

In $3+1$ dimensions a quantum hyperboloid can be imagined as the "boundary" of a region filled by quantum spheres that also represent quantum events potentially connected with the quantum event at the minimum of time. This can be imagined as an arrangement of quantum balls contained in a one-sheet hyperboloid. The causal propagation of a signal will consist in the transmission of information in a network of quantum balls. At distances smaller than a quantum ball there is no propagation of signals, thus in the region occupied by a quantum ball a field will be characterized by global parameters, therefore by their values at the boundary of the quantum ball, which is a quantum sphere. Effectively signals propagate on the boundaries of the quantum balls filling the space, which are the atoms of causally connected regions of space. In other words, information propagated from a quantum event to another in space-time travels through a foam of quantum spheres, formed by boundaries of quantum balls, yielding an effective causal structure governed by the quantum hyperboloid. The picture in $2+1$ dimensions is analogous.

We should comment here that the latter statements do not mean that quantum spheres cannot be "penetrated". The former picture is valid for time-observers. We could have chosen to measure spatial distances with certainty, instead of time, or any other combination of coordinates x^μ or $X^{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$, say Ξ, such that its spectrum obtained from the $\star$-genvalue equation,

$$\Xi\star W = \chi\, W\,, \tag{7.119}$$

will be unbounded[3], $-\infty < \chi < \infty$. Hence, we would be allowed to enter into the quantum disc/ball since $\chi = 0$ is an allowed value. But then the time would not be determined since $[\Xi, X^0]_\star \neq 0$, and hence there will not be concept causality, i.e. it will not be possible to order events in time. Though it is interesting to introduce these type of observers, we prefer to focus our attention on the study of the space-time perspective for time-observer and leave the introduction of other types of observers for future works.

For practical purposes one can define the *effective quantum hyperboloid*, as an effective classical geometry,

$$\mathfrak{r}^2 = \mathfrak{t}^2 + \ell^2\,, \qquad \mathfrak{t} \geqslant \mathfrak{t}_{min}\,, \quad \mathfrak{r} \geqslant \mathfrak{r}_{min}\,, \tag{7.120}$$

where

$$\text{in 2+1 dimensions} \quad : \quad \ell^2 = \frac{3}{16}{\ell_{\mathtt{H}}}^2\,, \quad \mathfrak{t}_{min} = \frac{1}{4}\ell_{\mathtt{H}}, \quad \mathfrak{r}^2_{min} = \frac{1}{4}{\ell_{\mathtt{H}}}^2\,, \tag{7.121}$$

$$\text{in 3+1 dimensions} \quad : \quad \ell^2 = \frac{1}{2}{\ell_{\mathtt{H}}}^2\,, \quad \mathfrak{t}_{min} = \frac{1}{2}\ell_{\mathtt{H}}, \quad \mathfrak{r}^2_{min} = \frac{3}{4}{\ell_{\mathtt{H}}}^2\,. \tag{7.122}$$

These surfaces will interpolate the discrete set of circles and spheres given in (5.78) and (5.100), with the respective parameters in the corresponding space-time dimensions.

---

[3] For instance for $\Xi = x^1$ or $\Xi = X^1$ in the $2+1$ or $3+1$ dimensional case respectively, they are equivalent to "harmonic oscillators" with negative potential energy and hence their spectrum will be unbounded.

From (7.120) one can estimate the average speed of propagation of light. This is

$$c^{\ell} := \frac{d\mathfrak{r}}{d\mathfrak{t}} = \sqrt{1-\frac{\ell^2}{\mathfrak{r}^2}} = \sqrt{\frac{\mathfrak{t}^2}{\mathfrak{t}^2+\ell^2}}\,, \qquad \mathfrak{t} \geqslant \mathfrak{t}_{min}\,, \quad \mathfrak{r}^2 \geqslant \mathfrak{r}^2_{min}\,, \tag{7.123}$$

and its acceleration,

$$a^{\ell} := \frac{d^2\mathfrak{r}}{d\mathfrak{t}^2} = \frac{\ell^2}{\mathfrak{r}^3} = \frac{\ell^2}{(\mathfrak{t}^2+\ell^2)^{3/2}}\,, \qquad \mathfrak{t} \geqslant \mathfrak{t}_{min}\,, \quad \mathfrak{r}^2 \geqslant \mathfrak{r}^2_{min}\,. \tag{7.124}$$

Note that vanishing speed of light and the infinite acceleration cannot be observed because the "horizon" at $\mathfrak{r}^2 = \ell^2$ and $\mathfrak{t} = 0$ is contained inside the the quantum event.

The effective speed and the acceleration of light takes extreme values at the minimal radius quantum circle/sphere,

$$\text{in 2+1 dimensions} \quad : \quad \begin{array}{l} c^{\ell}_{min} := \lim_{\mathfrak{r}\to\mathfrak{r}_{min}} c^{\ell} = \frac{1}{2}, \\ a_{max} := \lim_{\mathfrak{r}\to\mathfrak{r}_{min}} a^{\ell} = \frac{3}{2\ell_{\rm H}}\,, \end{array} \tag{7.125}$$

$$\text{in 3+1 dimensions} \quad : \quad \begin{array}{l} c^{\ell}_{min} := \lim_{\mathfrak{r}\to\mathfrak{r}_{min}} c^{\ell} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, \\ a_{max} := \lim_{\mathfrak{r}\to\mathfrak{r}_{min}} a^{\ell} = \frac{4}{\sqrt{27}\,\ell_{\rm H}}\,. \end{array} \tag{7.126}$$

while at infinity one obtains,

$$\lim_{\mathfrak{r}\to\infty} a^{\ell} = \lim_{\mathfrak{t}\to\infty} a^{\ell} = 0\,. \tag{7.127}$$

Thus we observe that the effective speed of light is on average smaller than the classical value 1 but accelerating. Asymptotically the acceleration vanishes and the speed of the light takes the value $c = 1$. The acceleration and the change of the speed of light are typical effects of gravity, which are now sourced by pure quantum mechanical effects. Hence we can conclude that quantum mechanics can be a source of a primitive form of quantum gravity at small scale. Indeed, since the sections of the one-sheet hyperboloid at a fixed time are wider than the sections of the classical light-cone, the space inside the hyperboloid looks in general as if it has expanded with respect to the classical case and so the wavelength of radiation will be redshifted.

The quantum causal structure implies a different beginning of the universe too, as tracing back its history we would arrive to a beginning in a quantum ball, or perhaps a set of them, and therefore no singularity would be observed.

Another implication of the finite size of quantum events is the eventual ceasing of a black hole evaporation process, stopping when it reaches the size of a quantum event. A black hole could not continue radiating after its event horizon coincides with the minimal size quantum sphere, since inside there are no processes in time that could occur. The black hole would achieve a finite state, stable and characterized by its global charges. This suggests that the final state of a black hole may indeed be a fundamental particle.

8 Entropy in twistor phase space and the area of spheres

A key element of our theory is that 2- and 4-dimensional twistor spaces under suitable reality conditions are equivalent to phase space of the same dimension provided the conditions given in section 4. Thus statistical mechanics in phase space can be translated to twistor space and hence to geometry. First of all the volume of a fundamental cell in $2d$ dimensional twistor phase space will be given by $(2\pi\ell_{\rm H})^d$, which provides a tool to count states in twistor phase space. Indeed, consider a ball of radius

$$r_{\rm TW} = \sqrt{p_1^2+q_1^2+p_2^2+q_2^2}, \tag{8.128}$$

in 4 dimensional twistor phase space. Its volume is

$$V_{\mathtt{TW}}^{\mathtt{4B}} = \frac{\pi^2}{2} r_{\mathtt{TW}}^4 \,. \tag{8.129}$$

From (4.52), the square of (8.128) is proportional to the radius

$$X^0 = \sqrt{\vec{X}^2} = \frac{1}{4} r_{\mathtt{TW}}^2 \tag{8.130}$$

of a sphere S^2 in $3+1$ dimensional space-time, which is also a section of the light-cone (4.53) at time X^0. Thereby (8.129) can be expressed in terms of the area $\mathcal{A}_{S^2}$ of the sphere of radius (8.130),

$$V_{\mathtt{TW}}^{\mathtt{4B}} = 2\pi \mathcal{A}_{S^2} \,, \qquad \mathcal{A}_{S^2} = 4\pi \vec{X}^2 \,. \tag{8.131}$$

Roughly speaking, since twistors are "square roots" of space-time coordinates, volume elements in twistor phase space are "square roots" of hyper-volume elements in four dimensional space-time, i.e. equivalent to area elements.

The equation (8.131) has the evident and remarkable implication that the number of fundamental cells of twistor phase space inside the volume (8.129),

$$\Omega_{\mathtt{TW}}^{\mathtt{4B}} = \frac{V_{\mathtt{TW}}^{\mathtt{4B}}}{(2\pi \ell_{\mathtt{H}})^2} \,, \tag{8.132}$$

is proportional to the area of a two-sphere in space-time,

$$\Omega_{\mathtt{TW}}^{\mathtt{4B}} = \frac{1}{2\pi {\ell_{\mathtt{H}}}^2} \mathcal{A}_{S^2} \,. \tag{8.133}$$

This means that for every fundamental cell in four dimensional twistor phase space we can assign a fundamental unit of area $2\pi {\ell_{\mathtt{H}}}^2$ on S^2.

The volume $V_{\mathtt{TW}}^{\mathtt{4B}}$ can be endowed with a probability distribution depending on the system it is representing. Black hole physics and the holographic principle suggest to look for distributions for which (8.133) will be proportional to the entropy, thus realizing the *entropy area law* expected to appear in holographic systems.

For generic probability distributions the Gibbs entropy reads

$$\mathtt{S} = -k_{\mathrm{B}} \sum_{\mathtt{i}}^{\Omega_{\mathtt{TW}}^{\mathtt{4B}}} \mathtt{p_i} \ln \mathtt{p_i} \,, \tag{8.134}$$

where k_{B} is the Boltzmann constant. (8.134) will be proportional to the area $\mathcal{A}_{S^2}$ for a constant probability distribution $\mathtt{p_i}$. Indeed, $\mathtt{p_i}$ can be determined from our construction. The observable in twistor phase space that we are studying is the space-time in itself, since the coordinates $X^{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$ of the light-cone are obtained from twistor phase-space. There is a two-to-one correspondence of twistor phase space to space-time, reflected in the symmetry $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}_\alpha} \to -\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}_\alpha}$ of (4.50), which means that $X^{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$ could have been obtained either from $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}_\alpha}$ or $-\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}_\alpha}$, hence to each cell in twistor phase space containing $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}_\alpha}$ one can assign probability $1/2 = \mathtt{p_i}$ to occur when $X^{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$ is observed. Thus the Gibbs entropy yields

$$\mathtt{S} = k_{\mathrm{B}} \frac{\ln 2}{2} \Omega_{\mathtt{TW}}^{\mathtt{4B}} = k_{\mathrm{B}} \frac{\ln 2}{4\pi {\ell_{\mathtt{H}}}^2} \mathcal{A}_{S^2} \,, \tag{8.135}$$

and therefore proportional to the area of the sphere (8.131)-(8.133), and it is equivalent to the Boltzmann entropy,

$$\mathtt{S} = k_{\mathrm{B}} \ln \mathcal{N}_{S^2} \,, \qquad \mathcal{N}_{S^2} = 2^{\mathcal{A}_{S^2}/4\pi {\ell_{\mathtt{H}}}^2} \,. \tag{8.136}$$

From this equation, the twistor phase space volume $V_{\mathtt{TW}}^{\mathtt{4B}}$ has $\mathcal{N}_{S^2}$ possible configurations, equivalent to one *bit* per element of area $4\pi{\ell_{\mathtt{H}}}^2$ on the sphere.

The reader may wonder why the number of configurations in $V_{\mathtt{TW}}^{\mathtt{4B}}$ is $\mathcal{N}_{S^2}$ and not $\Omega_{\mathtt{TW}}^{\mathtt{4B}}$, which would be the case for a one particle system, which would be observed in a single twistor phase space cell at each observation. The answer is then that the constant distribution twistor phase space $\mathtt{p_i} = 1/2$ does not correspond to a one particle system, but instead to an extended object, which is naturally identified with the sphere S^2 to which the equation (8.136) refers to. This is also an indication that $V_{\mathtt{TW}}^{\mathtt{4B}}$ corresponds to a particular configuration of a membrane in a regime of a yet unknown theory, at least to the author's knowledge.

The entropy area law (8.135) lends to a comparison with the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy of a black-hole of area $\mathcal{A}_{S^2}$, though it has been shown that the area-entropy law applies to more general spaces (see e.g. [60, 61, 61, 62]). This comparison would provide us with a theoretical way to obtain the numerical value of the Heisenberg length.

The Bekenstein-Hawking entropy is given by

$$\mathtt{S_{BH}} = \frac{\mathcal{A}_{S^2}}{4\ell_{\mathtt{P}}^2}\,, \tag{8.137}$$

where the Planck length is defined as,

$$\ell_{\mathtt{P}} := \sqrt{\hbar G_{\mathtt{N}}}\,. \tag{8.138}$$

Equaling (8.135) and (8.137) we obtain the proportionality relation between the Heisenberg length and the Planck length,

$$\ell_{\mathtt{P}} = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{\ln 2}}\,\ell_{\mathtt{H}}\,. \tag{8.139}$$

Though redundant, the Newton constant can be re-expressed as the quotient of fundamental constants, introduced from axioms of quantization,

$$G_{\mathtt{N}} = \frac{\pi}{\ln 2}\frac{\ell_{\mathtt{H}}^2}{\hbar}\,, \tag{8.140}$$

which reflects a microscopic origin of the Newton constant, and eventually of gravity.

In the $2+1$ dimensional case, the procedure that led to the correspondence of the entropy of a disc in twistor phase space and the perimeter of a circle can be carried out in an analogous way.

9 Conclusions

Introduced by R. Penrose, twistor space has been proposed as the underlying space of physical reality on top of which space-time emerges. In this philosophical proposal not only the space-time but also everything contained in the space-time exists in twistor space. Evidence in favor of Penrose's view is, for instance, the great computational simplification of scattering amplitudes in gauge theory [63–66] when expressed in terms of twistors. Indeed, further developments in this direction have shown that non-perturbative scattering amplitudes in super-Yang-Mills theories are encoded in the geometry of Grassmannian varieties constructed in twistor space [66–69]. In addition, string theory and supergravity can be formulated as theories in twistor space [64,70,71] which shows that twistor space has the necessary structure to allow the construction of theories which aim to provide a deep description of nature.

In our construction, twistor space can also be regarded as phase space, according to our discussion of section 4, and therefore it permits a fusion of geometry and mechanics, quantum and statistical, as we implemented in *twistor phase space* the *phase space formulation of quantum mechanics*. The latter approach is the resulting mathematical framework of a different perspective of quantum mechanics. As Moyal pointed out [15] quantum mechanics is essentially a statistical theory, therefore the relevant objects are probability distributions, rather than wave functions which are considered instead as secondary constructions. Thus, we introduce Wigner functions directly in twistor phase space, defined as those that allow the determination of expectation values of geometrical/mechanical observables from the respective $\ast$-genvalue equation.

As a result of combining twistor space with the phase space formulation of quantum mechanics, we obtained a new framework where the functions in twistor phase space play a dual role as describing shapes in space-time and as describing mechanical observables. Thus we can use the "mechanical side" to compute the spectra of observables in twistor phase space, their mean values, and study their statistical mechanics. These results can then be interpreted from both points of view; mechanical and geometrical.

We observed that the spectrum of the time was obtained in the same way as for a harmonic oscillator Hamiltonian, while other observables of the harmonic oscillator were reinterpreted as quantization of the light-cone, with the consequent modification of the causal structure of space-time, and of the Grassmannian $\mathtt{Gr}(2,4)$. We then assumed a constant probability distribution in the twistor phase space and obtained the entropy of a finite volume, which using the "geometry side", was shown to be proportional to the area of a sphere in space-time. Thus, for any mechanical object, or concept of quantum/statistical mechanics, there will be a geometrical reinterpretation referring to objects or concepts in space-time. The framework presented here provides the basis for a mechanical treatment of geometry, while the specific results concerning the light-cone and the Grassmannian $\mathtt{Gr}(2,4)$ can be regarded as illustrations of its implications.

We believe that further developments of this theory may indeed help to understand the underlying nature of reality. Indeed, the present construction suggests that perhaps the "geometrical reality", i.e. the shapes in space, and their dynamics, could be manifestations of a mechanical system. That this point of view may be meaningful from the phenomenological perspective is suggested by the cosmological implications of the modification of the causal structure of space-time, which provokes inflation and accelerated expansion of signals at small scale, which reminds us of features of dark energy. We also pointed out that the finite structure of *quantum events* would prevent complete evaporation of black holes and a singular origin of the universe.

Acknowledgments

We thank E. Ayon-Beato, N. Boulanger, J. Oliva, P. Ritter, J. Zanelli, for discussions. The author acknowledges the invitation from F. Toppan and the hospitality of the CBPF Institute (Rio de Janeiro, Brazil) during part of the elaboration of this paper. Gratitude also goes to partial support received from FONDECYT postdoctoral grant n$^{\text{o}}$ 3120103. Particular thanks go to A. Gorton for helping in the preparation of this manuscript.

References

[1] S. B. Giddings, "The Black hole information paradox," *PASCOS/Hopkins* (1995) 0415–428, `hep-th/9508151`.

[2] S. D. Mathur, "The Information paradox: A Pedagogical introduction," *Class.Quant.Grav.* **26** (2009) 224001, `0909.1038`.

[3] J. M. Maldacena, "The Large N limit of superconformal field theories and supergravity," *Int.J.Theor.Phys.* **38** (1999) 1113–1133, `hep-th/9711200`.

[4] E. Witten, "Anti-de Sitter space and holography," *Adv.Theor.Math.Phys.* **2** (1998) 253–291, `hep-th/9802150`.

[5] G. 't Hooft, "Dimensional reduction in quantum gravity," *Salamfest* (1993) 0284–296, `gr-qc/9310026`.

[6] C. B. Thorn, "Reformulating string theory with the 1/N expansion," *Sakharov memorial lectures in physics* **1** (1991) 447–453, `hep-th/9405069`.

[7] L. Susskind, "The World as a hologram," *J.Math.Phys.* **36** (1995) 6377–6396, `hep-th/9409089`.

[8] R. Bousso, "The Holographic principle," *Rev.Mod.Phys.* **74** (2002) 825–874, `hep-th/0203101`.

[9] A. Sakharov, "Vacuum quantum fluctuations in curved space and the theory of gravitation," *Sov.Phys.Dokl.* **12** (1968) 1040–1041.

[10] M. Visser, "Sakharov's induced gravity: A Modern perspective," *Mod.Phys.Lett.* **A17** (2002) 977–992, `gr-qc/0204062`.

[11] E. P. Verlinde, "On the Origin of Gravity and the Laws of Newton," *JHEP* **1104** (2011) 029, `1001.0785`.

[12] R. Penrose, "Twistor algebra," *J.Math.Phys.* **8** (1967) 345.

[13] R. Penrose and M. A. MacCallum, "Twistor theory: An Approach to the quantization of fields and space-time," *Phys.Rept.* **6** (1972) 241–316.

[14] H. Groenewold, "On the Principles of elementary quantum mechanics," *Physica* **12** (1946) 405–460.

[15] J. Moyal, "Quantum mechanics as a statistical theory," *Proc.Cambridge Phil.Soc.* **45** (1949) 99–124.

[16] D. Fairlie, "The Formulation of quantum mechanics in terms of phase space functions," *Proc.Cambridge Phil.Soc.* **60** (1964) 581–586.

[17] F. Bayen, M. Flato, C. Fronsdal, A. Lichnerowicz, and D. Sternheimer, "Deformation Theory and Quantization. 1. Deformations of Symplectic Structures," *Annals Phys.* **111** (1978) 61.

[18] F. Bayen, M. Flato, C. Fronsdal, A. Lichnerowicz, and D. Sternheimer, "Deformation Theory and Quantization. 2. Physical Applications," *Annals Phys.* **111** (1978) 111.

[19] D. B. Fairlie and C. A. Manogue, "The Formulation of quantum mechanics in terms of phase space functions: The Third equation," *J.Phys.* **A24** (1991) 3807–3816.

[20] C. K. Zachos, "Deformation quantization: Quantum mechanics lives and works in phase space," *Int.J.Mod.Phys.* **A17** (2002) 297–316, `hep-th/0110114`.

[21] M. Kontsevich, "Deformation quantization of Poisson manifolds. 1.," *Lett.Math.Phys.* **66** (2003) 157–216, `q-alg/9709040`.

[22] E. P. Wigner, "On the quantum correction for thermodynamic equilibrium," *Phys.Rev.* **40** (1932) 749–760.

[23] A. Connes and G. Landi, "Noncommutative manifolds: The Instanton algebra and isospectral deformations," *Commun.Math.Phys.* **221** (2001) 141–159, `math/0011194`.

[24] E. Bergshoeff, M. Blencowe, and K. Stelle, "Area Preserving Diffeomorphisms and Higher Spin Algebra," *Commun.Math.Phys.* **128** (1990) 213.

[25] M. A. Vasiliev, "Higher Spin Algebras and Quantization on the Sphere and Hyperboloid," *Int.J.Mod.Phys.* **A6** (1991) 1115–1135.

[26] J. Hoppe, "Quantum theory of a massless relativistic surface and a two dimensional bound state problem," *PhD thesis, Massachusetts Institute of Technology* (1982).

[27] J. Madore, "The Fuzzy sphere," *Class.Quant.Grav.* **9** (1992) 69–88.

[28] M. Flato and C. Fronsdal, “One Massless Particle Equals Two Dirac Singletons: Elementary Particles in a Curved Space. 6.,” *Lett.Math.Phys.* **2** (1978) 421–426.

[29] E. Majorana, “Relativistic theory of particles with arbitrary intrinsic momentum,” *Nuovo Cim.* **9** (1932) 335–344.

[30] D. Fradkin, “Comments on a Paper by Majorana Concerning Elementary Particles,” *Amer. J. Phys.* **34** (1966) 314–318.

[31] P. A. Dirac, “A Remarkable representation of the 3 + 2 de Sitter group,” *J.Math.Phys.* **4** (1963) 901–909.

[32] M. Vasiliev, “Higher spin superalgebras in any dimension and their representations,” *JHEP* **0412** (2004) 046, `hep-th/0404124`.

[33] M. A. Vasiliev, “Higher spin gauge theories: Star product and AdS space,” *Shifman, M.A. (ed.): The many faces of the superworld* (1999) 533–610, `hep-th/9910096`.

[34] M. A. Vasiliev, “Consistent equation for interacting gauge fields of all spins in (3+1)-dimensions,” *Phys.Lett.* **B243** (1990) 378–382.

[35] M. A. Vasiliev, “More on equations of motion for interacting massless fields of all spins in (3+1)-dimensions,” *Phys.Lett.* **B285** (1992) 225–234.

[36] M. Vasiliev, “Higher spin gauge theories in any dimension,” *Comptes Rendus Physique* **5** (2004) 1101–1109, `hep-th/0409260`.

[37] M. Vasiliev, “Higher-Spin Theory and Space-Time Metamorphoses,” *Lect.Notes Phys.* **892** (2015) 227–264, `1404.1948`.

[38] N. Boulanger and P. Sundell, “An action principle for Vasiliev’s four-dimensional higher-spin gravity,” *J.Phys.* **A44** (2011) 495402, `1102.2219`.

[39] N. Boulanger, N. Colombo, and P. Sundell, “A minimal BV action for Vasiliev’s four-dimensional higher spin gravity,” *JHEP* **1210** (2012) 043, `1205.3339`.

[40] T. L. Curtright and C. K. Zachos, “Quantum Mechanics in Phase Space,” *Asia Pac.Phys.Newslett.* **1** (2012) 37–46, `1104.5269`.

[41] D. Leibfried, T. Pfau, and C. Monroe, “Shadows and Mirrors: Reconstructing Quantum States of Atom Motion,” *Physics Today* **51** (1998) 22–28.

[42] H. S. Snyder, “Quantized space-time,” *Phys.Rev.* **71** (1947) 38–41.

[43] L. Lu and A. Stern, “Snyder space revisited,” *Nucl.Phys.* **B854** (2012) 894–912, `1108.1832`.

[44] A. Connes, “Noncommutative geometry,” *Academic Press* (1994).

[45] H. Grosse and R. Wulkenhaar, “8D-spectral triple on 4D-Moyal space and the vacuum of noncommutative gauge theory,” *J.Geom.Phys.* **62** (2012) 1583–1599, `0709.0095`.

[46] V. Gayral, J. M. Gracia-Bondia, B. Iochum, T. Schucker, and J. Varilly, “Moyal planes are spectral triples,” *Commun.Math.Phys.* **246** (2004) 569–623, `hep-th/0307241`.

[47] G. Fiore and J. Wess, “On full twisted Poincare’ symmetry and QFT on Moyal-Weyl spaces,” *Phys.Rev.* **D75** (2007) 105022, `hep-th/0701078`.

[48] E. Akofor, A. Balachandran, and A. Joseph, “Quantum Fields on the Groenewold-Moyal Plane,” *Int.J.Mod.Phys.* **A23** (2008) 1637–1677, `0803.4351`.

[49] A. Balachandran, A. Pinzul, B. Qureshi, and S. Vaidya, "Twisted Gauge and Gravity Theories on the Groenewold-Moyal Plane," *Phys.Rev.* **D76** (2007) 105025, `0708.0069`.

[50] P. Aschieri, "Star Product Geometries," `0903.2457`.

[51] W. Heidenreich, "All Linear Unitary Irreducible Representations Of De Sitter Supersymmetry With Positive Energy," *Phys.Lett.* **B110** (1982) 461–464.

[52] H. Nicolai and E. Sezgin, "Singleton Representations of Osp(N,4)," *Phys.Lett.* **B143** (1984) 389.

[53] N. Boulanger, P. Sundell, and M. Valenzuela, "Three-dimensional fractional-spin gravity," *JHEP* **1402** (2014) 052, `1312.5700`.

[54] P. S. Nicolas Boulanger and M. Valenzuela, "A Higher-Spin Chern-Simons Theory of Anyons," *Phys.Part.Nucl.Lett.* **11** (2013), no. 7, 977–980, `1311.4589`.

[55] E. Fradkin and M. A. Vasiliev, "Candidate to the Role of Higher Spin Symmetry," *Annals Phys.* **177** (1987) 63.

[56] M. A. Vasiliev, "Extended Higher Spin Superalgebras and Their Realizations in Terms of Quantum Operators," *Fortsch.Phys.* **36** (1988) 33–62.

[57] E. Fradkin and M. A. Vasiliev, "Superalgebra of Higher Spins and Auxiliary Fields," *Int.J.Mod.Phys.* **A3** (1988) 2983.

[58] S. Konstein and M. A. Vasiliev, "Extended Higher Spin Superalgebras and Their Massless Representations," *Nucl.Phys.* **B331** (1990) 475–499.

[59] E. Sezgin and P. Sundell, "Supersymmetric Higher Spin Theories," *J.Phys.* **A46** (2013) 214022, `1208.6019`.

[60] G. Gibbons and S. Hawking, "Action Integrals and Partition Functions in Quantum Gravity," *Phys.Rev.* **D15** (1977) 2752–2756.

[61] S. Massar and R. Parentani, "How the change in horizon area drives black hole evaporation," *Nucl.Phys.* **B575** (2000) 333–356, `gr-qc/9903027`.

[62] T. Jacobson and R. Parentani, "Horizon entropy," *Found.Phys.* **33** (2003) 323–348, `gr-qc/0302099`.

[63] F. Cachazo, P. Svrcek, and E. Witten, "Gauge theory amplitudes in twistor space and holomorphic anomaly," *JHEP* **0410** (2004) 077, `hep-th/0409245`.

[64] E. Witten, "Perturbative gauge theory as a string theory in twistor space," *Commun.Math.Phys.* **252** (2004) 189–258, `hep-th/0312171`.

[65] F. Cachazo, P. Svrcek, and E. Witten, "Twistor space structure of one-loop amplitudes in gauge theory," *JHEP* **0410** (2004) 074, `hep-th/0406177`.

[66] N. Arkani-Hamed, J. L. Bourjaily, F. Cachazo, S. Caron-Huot, and J. Trnka, "The All-Loop Integrand For Scattering Amplitudes in Planar N=4 SYM," *JHEP* **1101** (2011) 041, `1008.2958`.

[67] N. Arkani-Hamed, J. L. Bourjaily, F. Cachazo, A. B. Goncharov, A. Postnikov, *et al.*, "Scattering Amplitudes and the Positive Grassmannian," `1212.5605`.

[68] N. Arkani-Hamed and J. Trnka, "Into the Amplituhedron," *JHEP* **1412** (2014) 182, `1312.7878`.

[69] N. Arkani-Hamed and J. Trnka, "The Amplituhedron," *JHEP* **1410** (2014) 30, `1312.2007`.

[70] N. Berkovits, "An Alternative string theory in twistor space for N=4 superYang-Mills," *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **93** (2004) 011601, `hep-th/0402045`.

[71] N. Berkovits and E. Witten, “Conformal supergravity in twistor-string theory,” *JHEP* **0408** (2004) 009, `hep-th/0406051`.